Spectroscopy of heavy-light mesons

N. B. Devlani



Applied Physics Department

The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara, INDIA

<ロ> (四)、(四)、(三)、(三)、

æ

Outline

Introduction

2 Mass spectra

- 3 Electromagnetic transitions
- 4 Decay properties

Conclusion



-

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

3

Introduction

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Theoretical status

There exist many approaches to study the quark-antiquark dynamics e.g.

- Effective field theories
- Heavy quark effective field theory(HQET)
- Lattice QCD

Phenomelogical approaches such as;

- Bag models
- QCD Sum rules
- Potential models

► < ∃ ►</p>

Potential models

- Use of non-relativistic potential models is justified by the fact that the bottom and, to a lesser extent, the charm masses are large in comparison to Λ_{QCD} , the typical hadronic scale.
- A quantum mechanical description of the system based on two heavy quarks interacting through a suitable potential appears reasonable.
- The potential is usually chosen in a way that at short distances it coincides with the weak coupling QCD one-gluon exchange Coulomb potential and in the long range it incorporates confinement.
- Though there exist many potential models with relativistic and nonrelativistic considerations employed to study the hadron properties based on its quark structure, the most commonly used potential is the coulomb plus linear potential, $V(r) = -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r} + Ar$, with the string tension A. Such a form is supported by lattice QCD calculations[1, 2].

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Various potential models

Table 1.1: Various interquark potentials.

Cornell potential[3]	$V(r) = -rac{4}{3}rac{lpha_{ m s}}{r} + Ar$
Quigg-Rosner(Logarithmic) [4]	$V(r) = A \ln \left(r / r_0 \right)$
ERHM[5]	$V\left(r ight)=rac{1}{2}\left(1+\gamma_{0} ight)A^{2}r^{2}+B$
Martin[6]	$V\left(r\right) = A + Br^{0.1}$
Buchmuller and Tye[7]	$V(r) = -rac{4}{3}rac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^3q \exp\left(i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x} ight)rac{4\pilpha_5(\mathbf{q}^2)}{\mathbf{q}^2}$
Falkensteiner[8]	$V(r) = -rac{4}{3}rac{lpha_s}{r} \operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{\pi}Ar) + Ar$

э

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

- Heavy mesons which are regarded as bound states of a heavy quark and an anti-quark are essentially non-relativistic. Therefore, a potential model based approach has been quite successful in estimating various properties of these mesons.
- However, mesons containing light quarks do not fall under the scope of non-relativistic quantum mechanics.
- Recently, mesons containing one light and another heavy quark/anti-quark have created a lot of interest, particularly the *D*, *D_S*, *B* and *B_S* mesons. These systems may be considered to be semi-relativistic[9, 10].

(日) (周) (日) (日)

- Recent experimental measurements of the P-wave masses of D and D_S mesons, by many experimental facilities worldwide such as FOCUS(E831) at Fermilab, BELLE and CLEO[11, 12, 13], it is found that most of these theoretical predictions do not agree fully with the available experimental data[14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]
- BaBar collaboration in 2009 observed several new excited candidate states for the D and D_S meson.
- In the cases of B and B_S mesons; apart from well established states two L = 1 candidate states were observed in the nineties
- the possible spin-parity quantum numbers of these open charm and beauty states could be as listed in the Table-1.2[22].

(日) (周) (日) (日)

Table 1.2: Possible J^P of the open charm and beauty states.

State	observed channel	possible <i>J^P</i>
$D_{s1}(2710)$	DK, D*K	1-
$D_{sJ}(2860)$	DK, D*K	1-, 3-,
$D_{sJ}(3040)$	$D^{\star}K$	0-, 1+, 2-,
D(2550)	$D^*\pi$	$0^{-}, 1^{-}, 2^{-}, \ldots$
D(2600)	$D\pi, D^*\pi$	$1^{-}, 3^{-}, \dots$
D(2750)	$D^*\pi$	$0^-, 1^-, 2^-, 3^-, \dots$
D(2760)	$D\pi$	$0^+, 1^-, 2^+, 3^-, \dots$
$B_1(5721)^0$	$B^{\star+}\pi^-$	1+
B [*] ₁ (5732)	$B\pi$, $B^{\star}\pi$	0+
$B_{2}^{\star}(5747)$	$B^+\pi^-$	2+
$B_{s1}(5830)^0$	$B^{\star +}K^{-}$	1+
$B_{s2}^{\star}(5840)^{0}$	B^+K^-	2+ < = > < = > < = > < = >

- We would like to test the applicability of a potential model, (Coulomb plus power), to heavy-light flavoured mesons.
- We would like to study how far we can extend this formulation by including the kinematic relativistic corrections within the Hamiltonian of these systems.
- Such a study will be useful to know the applicability of the potential model to heavy-light systems as these system may be considered to be semi-relativistic.
- Moreover, in the limit that the heavy quark mass becomes infinite, the heavy-light meson behaves analogously to the hydrogen atom i.e., the heavier quark does not contribute to the orbital degrees of freedom and the properties of the meson are determined by those of the light quark[23, 11].
- Therefore it will be useful to make a comparative study of the system with a hydrogen like as well as a gaussian wave function as it is known that the hydrogen like wave function is more suitable to the coulombic regime while the gaussian wave function is more suitable to the linear confining region.

э

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Objectives

- To extend the potential model approach to heavy-light mesons by including the kinematic relativistic corrections to the Hamiltonia of these systems with the hope of improving the theoretical predictions.
- To compare the properties of heavy-light flavoured mesons obtained using variational approach with hydrogen-like and gaussian wave functions in order to quantify the role played by the confining interaction within the present scheme.

(日) (周) (日) (日)

Mass spectra of heavy-light flavoured mesons

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Mass spectra

• The hamiltonian employed is

$$H = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_Q^2} + \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_{\bar{q}}^2} + V(\mathbf{r})$$
 (1)

• We expand the kinetic energy(K.E.) part of the Hamiltonian up to $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$, and $V(\mathbf{r})$ is the quark-antiquark potential [24, 25, 26],

$$V(r) = -\frac{\alpha_c}{r} + Ar^{\nu} + V_0; \ \alpha_c = \frac{4}{3}\alpha_s.$$
 (2)

 The value of the QCD coupling constant α_s(M²) is determined through the simplest model with freezing[27, 28], namely

$$\alpha_s(M^2) = \frac{4\pi}{\left(11 - \frac{2}{3}n_f\right)\ln\frac{M^2 + M_B^2}{\Lambda^2}} \tag{3}$$

where the scale is taken as $M = 2m_Q m_{\bar{q}} / (m_Q + m_{\bar{q}})$, $M_B = 0.95$ GeV[27, 28], and $\Lambda = 413$ MeV [29].

Mass spectra

• We have used the hydrogenic radial wave function as well gaussian wave function in the present study. The hydrogenic wave function has the form

$$R_{nl}(r) = \left(\frac{\mu^3(n-l-1)!}{2n(n+l)!}\right)^{1/2} (\mu r)^l e^{-\mu r/2} L_{n-l-1}^{2l+1}(\mu r), \tag{4}$$

and the gaussian wave function has the form

$$R_{nl}(r) = \mu^{3/2} \left(\frac{2(n-1)!}{\Gamma(n+l+1/2)} \right)^{1/2} (\mu r)^{l} e^{-\mu^{2}r^{2}/2} L_{n-1}^{l+1/2}(\mu^{2}r^{2}).$$
(5)

• We employ the Ritz variational scheme. We obtain the expectation values of the Hamiltonian as

$$H\psi = E\psi \tag{6}$$

For a chosen value of $\nu,$ the variational parameter, μ is determined for each state using the Virial theorem

$$\langle \mathcal{K}.\mathcal{E}.\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{rdV}{dr} \right\rangle. \tag{7}$$

Mass spectra

 As the interaction potential assumed here does not contain the spin dependent part, Eq(6) gives the spin averaged masses of the system in terms of the power index ν. The spin averaged mass for the ground state is computed for the values of ν from 0.5 to 2.0. The spin-averaged mass is matched with the experimental value for the ground state using the equation [26]

$$M_{SA} = M_P + \frac{3}{4}(M_V - M_P)$$
(8)

where M_V and M_P are the experimentally measured vector and pseudoscalar meson ground state masses. This fixes the parameter V_0 , for the chosen value of ν . Using this value of V_0 we calculate S, P, and D wave spin-averaged masses of D_s mesons which are listed in Tables **??** and **??**. For the comparison for the *n* state, we compute the spin-average or the center of weight mass from the respective experimental as well as theoretical values as [26]

$$M_{CW,n} = \frac{\sum_{J} 2(2J+1)M_{nJ}}{\sum_{J} 2(2J+1)}$$
(9)

18 July 2016

3

15 / 84

where, $M_{CW,n}$ denotes the spin-averaged mass of the *n* state and M_{nJ} represents the mass of the meson in the *nJ* state.

Meson	ν	Hydrogenic	Gaussian	Meson	ν	Hydrogenic	Gaussian
	0.5	-0.157	-0.181		0.5	-0.120	-0.148
	1.0	-0.326	-0.337		1.0	0.268	-0.277
D	1.5	-0.574	-0.518	В	1.5	-0.512	-0.447
	2.0	-0.982	-0.768		2.0	-0.922	-0.696
	0.5	-0.113	-0.138		0.5	-0.090	-0.118
	1.0	-0.268	-0.282	Bs	1.0	-0.220	-0.234
D_{S}	1.5	-0.478	-0.440		1.5	-0.422	-0.374
	2.0	-0.808	-0.639		2.0	-0.752	-0.693
	0.5	-0.119	-0.157				
	1.0	-0.171	-0.204				
B _c	1.5	-0.228	-0.245				
	2.0	-0.294	-0.281				

Table 2.1: Value of V_0 (in GeV).

Spin-dependent forces

• To take into account the spin dependent and the spin-orbit interaction, causing the splitting of the *nL* levels one introduces additional term in the Hamiltonian[30, 31, 32]

$$V_{SD}(\mathbf{r}) = \left(\frac{\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{Q}}}{2m_{Q}^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}}}{2m_{\bar{q}}^{2}}\right) \left(-\frac{dV(r)}{rdr} + \frac{8}{3}\alpha_{S}\frac{1}{r^{3}}\right) + \frac{4}{3}\alpha_{S}\frac{1}{m_{Q}m_{\bar{q}}}\frac{\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}}{r^{3}} + \frac{4}{3}\alpha_{S}\frac{2}{3m_{Q}m_{\bar{q}}}\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{Q}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}}4\pi\delta(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{4}{3}\alpha_{S}\frac{1}{m_{Q}m_{\bar{q}}}\left\{3(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{Q}}\cdot\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}}\cdot\mathbf{n}) - (\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{Q}}\cdot\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}})\right\}\frac{1}{r^{3}}; \mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}$$
(10)

(日) (周) (日) (日)

• For the calculation of the mass spectrum of the D_s meson the parameters used were $A = 0.14 \text{ GeV}^{\nu+1}$, $m_s = 0.52 \text{ GeV}$, $m_c = 1.55 \text{ GeV}$, $\alpha_s = 0.622$.

			Hydrogenic			Gaussian			
nL	ν	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε(μ)	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε (μ)	Expt.	Theory
		(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
	0.5	0.902	0.606	2.076	0.349	0.309	2.076		2.076[14]
	1.0	1.203	0.933	2.076	0.467	0.480	2.076		2.082[16]
15	1.5	1.480	1.273	2.076	0.576	0.658	2.076	2.076[21]	2.074[19]
	2.0	1.697	1.564	2.076	0.663	0.811	2.076		2.072[22]
									2.075[15]
								_	

Table 2.2: S-wave spin-averaged mass of D_S meson.

18 July 2016 18 / 84

			Hydrogenic			Gaussian		_	
nL	ν	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε (μ)	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε (μ)	Theory	
		(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV ^{3/2})	(GeV)	$({ m GeV})$	
	0.5	0.745	0.227	2.364	0.199	0.109	2.346	2.779[14]	_
	1.0	1.248	0.493	2.682	0.336	0.239	2.713	2.700[16]	
25	1.5	1.688	0.775	3.163	0.480	0.408	3.207	2.706[19]	
	2.0	1.991	0.993	4.022	0.601	0.572	3.905	2.695[22]	
								2.720[15]	
	0.5	0.735	0.149	2.505	0.159	0.070	2.489	3.323[14]	
	1.0	1.359	0.373	3.099	0.298	0.179	3.175	3.165[16]	
35	1.5	1.892	0.613	4.140	0.450	0.334	4.180	3.076[19]	
	2.0	2.245	0.793	6.219	0.583	0.488	5.765	3.236[15]	
	0.5	0.746	0.114	2.605	0.139	0.053	2.594		
	1.0	1.461	0.312	3.451	0.278	0.149	3.567	3.356[19]	
45	1.5	2.058	0.522	5.108	0.437	0.294	5.086	3.665[15]	
								18 July 2016	

19 / 84

Table 2.3: P and D-wave spin averaged mass of D_S meson

		Hydro	ogenic	Gau	ssian		
nL	ν	μ	Ε(μ)	μ	Ε (μ)	Expt.	Theory
		(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
	0.5	0.721	2.332	0.225	2.309		2.568[14]
	1.0	1.174	2.574	0.365	2.540		2.531[16]
1 <i>P</i>	1.5	1.628	2.838	0.499	2.806	2.514[21]	2.538[19]
	2.0	2.011	3.131	0.608	3.137		2.511[22]
							2.537[15]
	0.5	0.723	2.487	0.169	2.464		3.142[14]
	1.0	1.326	3.030	0.310	3.026		3.008[16]
2 <i>P</i>	1.5	1.925	3.798	0.460	3.800		2.954[19]
	2.0	2.358	5.129	0.583	4.975		2.991[22]

18 July 2016 20 / 84

		Hydro	ogenic	Gaus	ssian	
nL	ν	μ	Ε(μ)	μ	Ε(μ)	Theory
		(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
	0.5	0.703	2.451	0.186	2.425	2.917[14]
1	1.0	1.250	2.892	0.331	2.852	2.873[16]
1 <i>D</i>	1.5	1.814	3.421	0.474	3.382	2.850[19]
	2.0	2.291	4.091	0.587	4.129	2.814[22]
						2.950[15]
	0.5	0.726	2.570	0.154	2.544	3.288[16]
20	1.0	1.401	3.303	0.296	3.277	3.161[19]
2D	1.5	2.105	4.365	0.449	4.322	3.236[22]
	2.0	2.628	6.235	0.571	6.028	3.436[15]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─ のへで

18 July 2016 21 / 84

This work Others States Expt.[21] Hydrogenic Gaussian [15] [19] [16] [?] [22] 1¹S₀ 1.801 1.970 1.969 1.975 1.940 1.965 1.969 1.968 1³S₁ 2.248 2.117 2.108 2.130 2.113 2.107 2.112 2.111 $D_{s0}(1^{3}P_{0})$ 2.335 2.318 2.509 2.455 2.380 2.344 2.444 2.487 $D'_{s1}(1P'_{1})$ 2.522 2.569 2.540 2.535 2.574 2.520 2.605 2.510 $D_{s1}(1P_1)$ 2.529 2.530 2.460 2.536 2.502 2.510 2.535 2.488 $D_{s2}(1^{3}P_{2})$ 2.573 2.571 2.652 2.566 2.586 2.580 2.581 2.559 1¹So 2.569 2.684 2.688 2.659 2.610 2.700 2.640 1³S₁ 2.710⁺¹² 2.725 2.723 2.731 2.722 2.730 2.806 2.714 1^3D_1 2.874 2.873 2.913 2.838 2.820 2.900 2.804 $1D'_{2}$ 2.914 2.896 2.931 2.845 2.860 2.913 2.849 $1D_2$ 2.877 2.816 2.961 2.856 2.880 2.953 2.788 $1^{3}D_{3}$ 2.862 2.891 2.834 2.971 2.857 2.900 2.925 2.811 18 July 2016

Table 2.4: Mass spectrum of D_S meson(in GeV)

6 22 / 84

Chatan	This work		E	Others					
States	Hydrogenic	Gaussian	Expt.[21]	[15]	[19]	[16]	[?]	[22]	
2 ³ <i>P</i> ₀	2.628	2.947		3.054	2.901	2.900	3.067	2.830	
2P1	3.046	3.019	3.044 ⁺³⁰	3.067	2.928	3.000	3.114	2.958	
$2P'_1$	2.913	3.023		3.154	2.942	3.010	3.165	2.995	
2 ³ P ₂	3.171	3.048		3.142	2.980	3.060	3.157	3.040	
3 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	3.030	3.158		3.219	3.044	3.090	3.259		
3 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	3.123	3.180		3.242	3.087	3.190	3.345		
2 ³ D ₁	3.243	3.292		3.383	3.144	3.250		3.217	
2D'2	3.303	3.312		3.403	3.172	3.280		3.260	
2D ₂	3.296	3.248		3.456	3.167	3.290		3.217	
2 ³ D ₃	3.318	3.263		3.469	3.157	3.310		3.240	
4 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	3.402	3.556		3.652	3.331				
4 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	3.467	3.571		3.669	3.364				

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 三 > - 三 :

• For the D meson we have used $A = 0.14 \ GeV^{\nu+1}$, $m_q = 0.45 \ GeV$ and $m_c = 1.55 \ GeV$, $\alpha_s = 0.645$.

			Hydrogenic			Gaussian			
nL	ν	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε(μ)	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε(μ)	Expt.	Theory
		(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
	0.5	0.853	0.557	1.975	0.330	0.284	1.975		1.971[14]
	1.0	1.150	0.872	1.975	0.448	0.450	1.975		1.977[16]
15	1.5	1.409	1.183	1.975	0.553	0.618	1.975	1.975	1.973[19]
	2.0	1.606	1.439	1.975	0.632	0.756	1.975		1.974[22]
									1.975[15]

Table 2.5: S-wave spin-averaged masses of D meson

▲ロト ▲聞 と ▲ 臣 と ▲ 臣 と ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

			Hydrogenic			Gaussian		_	
nL	ν	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε (μ)	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε (μ)	Theory	
		(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV ^{3/2})	(GeV)	$({ m GeV})$	
	0.5	0.709	0.211	2.263	0.189	0.101	2.247	2.666[14]	_
	1.0	1.199	0.464	2.596	0.324	0.226	2.629	2.700[16]	
2 <i>5</i>	1.5	1.603	0.718	3.140	0.464	0.388	3.152	2.586[19]	
	2.0	1.875	0.908	4.162	0.574	0.534	3.972	2.616[22]	
								2.619[15]	
	0.5	0.702	0.139	2.406	0.152	0.065	2.392	3.205[14]	
26	1.0	1.306	0.352	3.028	0.288	0.169	3.104	3.165[16]	
35	1.5	1.788	0.564	4.212	0.437	0.317	4.174	2.936[19]	
	2.0	2.106	0.721	6.672	0.554	0.453	6.042	3.087[15]	
	0.5	0.713	0.106	2.508	0.133	0.049	2.498		
	1.0	1.403	0.294	3.395	0.268	0.141	3.510	3.208[19]	
45	1.5	1.939	0.477	5.281	0.422	0.279	5.129	3.474[15]	

25 / 84

Table 2.6: P and D-wave spin-averaged mass of D meson

		Hydrogenic		Gau	sian		
nL	ν	μ	Ε(μ)	μ	Ε(μ)	Expt.	Theory
		(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
	0.5	0.686	2.230	0.214	2.208		2.450[14]
	1.0	1.131	2.481	0.351	2.448		2.417[16]
1P	1.5	1.573	2.747	0.482	2.729	2.434	2.426[19]
	2.0	1.923	3.082	0.581	3.118		2.420[22]
							2.414[15]
	0.5	0.690	2.387	0.161	2.366		2.820[14]
	1.0	1.279	2.951	0.299	2.949		2.902[16]
2 <i>P</i>	1.5	1.842	3.792	0.444	3.775		3.020[19]
	2.0	2.227	5.372	0.555	5.160		2.920[22]

18 July 2016 26 / 84

		Hydro	ogenic	Gaus	ssian	
nL	ν	μ	μ Ε(μ) μ		Ε(μ)	Theory
		(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
	0.5	0.670	2.351	0.178	2.325	2.801[14]
	1.0	1.206	2.807	0.319	2.768	2.754[16]
1 <i>D</i>	1.5	1.754	3.356	0.458	3.337	2.707[19]
	2.0	2.190	4.131	0.559	4.222	3.175[22]
						2.834[15]
	0.5	0.693	2.472	0.147	2.447	
20	1.0	1.353	3.231	0.285	3.207	
20	1.5	2.019	4.386	0.433	4.330	
	2.0	2.484	6.602	0.542	6.350	

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 三 > - 三 18 July 2016

27 / 84

State -	This work		F [21]	Others					
State	Hydrogenic	Gaussian	Expt.[21]	[15]	[19]	[16]	[14]	[22]	
1 ¹ S ₀	1.702	1.865	1.869	1.871	1.874	1.850	1.868	1.867	
1 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	2.157	2.018	2.010	2.010	2.006	2.020	2.005	2.010	
1 ³ <i>P</i> ₀	2.225	2.352	$2.308^{+0.036}_{-0.036}$	2.406	2.341	2.270	2.377	2.252	
$1P'_{1}$	2.477	2.454	$2.441^{+0.032}_{-0.032}$	2.469	2.407	2.410	2.490	2.417	
$1P_1$	2.431	2.434	2.422	2.426	2.389	2.400	2.417	2.402	
$1^{3}P_{2}$	2.564	2.473	2.461	2.460	2.477	2.460	2.460	2.466	
2 ¹ S ₀	2.476	2.598	2.539	2.581	2.540	2.500	2.589	2.555	
2 ³ S ₁	2.642	2.639	2.637	2.632	2.601	2.620	2.692	2.636	
1 ³ <i>D</i> ₁	2.810	2.803		2.788	2.750	2.710	2.795	2.740	
$1D'_2$	2.854	2.829		2.850	2.727	2.760	2.833	2.789	
1D ₂	2.766	2.722	2.752	2.806	2.689	2.740	2.775	2.693	
1 ³ D-	2 700	2 741	0 762	2 663	2 600	2 700	2 700	2016	

Table 2.7: Mass spectrum of *D* meson(in GeV)

28 / 84

C	This work		E	Others					
State	Hydrogenic	Gaussian	Expt.[21]	[15]	[19]	[16]	[14]	[22]	
2 ³ <i>P</i> 0	2.508	2.868		2.919	2.758	2.780	2.949	2.752	
2P 1	2.811	2.940		2.932	2.792	2.890	2.995	2.886	
$2P'_1$	2.976	2.951		3.021	2.802	2.890	3.045	2.926	
2 ³ P ₂	3.108	2.971		3.012	2.860	2.94	3.035	2.971	
3 ¹ <i>S</i> 0	2.955	3.087		3.062	2.904	2.980	3.141		
3 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	3.054	3.110		3.096	2.947	3.070	3.226		
2 ³ D ₁	3.172	3.233		3.228	3.052	3.130		3.168	
$2D'_2$	3.241	3.256		3.307	3.029	3.170		3.215	
2D ₂	3.213	3.169		3.259	2.997	3.160		3.145	
2 ³ D ₃	3.263	3.187		3.335	2.999	3.190		3.170	
4 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	3.343	3.498		2.452	3.175	3.370			
4 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	3.413	3.514		3.482	3.208				

<ロト < 個 > < 注 > < 注 > … 注:

18 July 2016 29 / 84

• The parameters used were $A=0.14~{\rm GeV}^{\nu+1}$, $m_q=0.45$ GeV, $m_b=4.88$ GeV, $\alpha_s=0.61$

Table	2.8:	S-wave	spin-averaged	l mass of	В	meson
-------	------	--------	---------------	-----------	---	-------

		Hydrogenic				Gaussian			
nL	ν	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε(μ)	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε(μ)	Expt.[21]	Theory
		(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
	0.5	0.953	0.658	5.314	0.367	0.334	5.314		5.314[15]
10	1.0	1.247	0.985	5.314	0.488	0.513	5.314	5 01 4	5.313[19]
15	1.5	1.474	1.266	5.314	0.585	0.673	5.314	5.314	5.318[16]
	2.0	1.646	1.493	5.314	0.655	0.796	5.314		5.313[14]

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲画▶ ▲画▶ 二直 - のへで

18 July 2016 30 / 84

		Hydrogenic				Gaussian			
nL	ν	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε(μ)	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε(μ)	Theory	
		(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	
	0.5	0.771	0.239	5.607	0.205	0.114	5.588	5.902[15]	-
•	1.0	1.278	0.511	5.918	0.348	0.252	5.942	5.842[19]	
25	1.5	1.650	0.750	6.456	0.489	0.419	6.415	5.860[16]	
	2.0	1.900	0.926	7.748	0.590	0.555	7.226	5.912[14]	
	0.5	0.758	0.156	5.748	0.164	0.073	5.730	6.385[15]	
25	1.0	1.383	0.383	6.335	0.307	0.187	6.394	6.131[19]	
33	1.5	1.829	0.583	7.512	0.459	0.340	7.377	6.232[16]	
	2.0	2.126	0.731	9.963	0.567	0.466	9.232	6.340[14]	
	0.5	0.768	0.119	5.848	0.143	0.055	5.835	6.785[15]	
45	1.0	1.480	0.318	6.690	0.286	0.155	6.778	6.347[19]	
45	1.5	1.975	0.491	8.570	0.442	0.299	8.277		
	2.0	2.311	0.621	12.785	0.553	0.417	11.333	e> ≺≣>	3

		Hydrogenic		Gau	ssian	_	
nL	ν	μ	Ε(μ)	μ	Ε(μ)	Theory	
		(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	
	0.5	0.745	5.576	0.232	5.551	5.745[15]	
10	1.0	1.215	5.803	0.378	5.774	5.696[19]	
1P	1.5	1.657	6.026	0.508	6.027	5.695[16]	
	2.0	1.979	6.351	0.598	6.410	5.717[14]	
	0.5	0.745	5.730	0.174	5.706	6.249[15]	
20	1.0	1.366	6.251	0.320	6.250	6.030[19]	
2 <i>P</i>	1.5	1.909	7.056	0.466	7.010	6.105[16]	
	2.0	2.262	8.631	0.568	8.387	6.184[14]	

Table 2.9: P-wave spin-averaged mass of the B meson

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ ─ 注 ─ のへで

18 July 2016 32 / 84

		Hydro	ogenic	Gaus	ssian	
nL	ν	μ	Ε(μ)	μ	Ε(μ)	Theory
		(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
	0.5	0.723	5.696	0.192	5.667	6.106[15]
	1.0	1.289	6.115	0.341	6.079	5.924[19]
10	1.5	1.845	6.598	0.479	6.606	5.970[16]
	2.0	2.248	7.359	0.572	7.486	6.007[14]
	0.5	0.746	5.813	0.158	5.785	6.540[15]
20	1.0	1.443	6.517	0.305	6.495	6.183[19]
2D	1.5	2.096	7.612	0.452	7.543	6.306[14]
	2.0	2.523	9.822	0.552	9.556	

18 July 2016 33 / 84

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─ のへで

Table 2.10: Mass spectrum of the *B* meson(in GeV)

State -	This work		E		Others						
State	Hydro.	Gauss.	Expt.[21]	[15]	[19]	[16]	[14]	[18]			
1 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	5.146	5.266	5.279	5.280	5.277	5.280	5.279	5.279			
1 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	5.375	5.330	5.325	5.326	5.325	5.330	5.324	5.324			
1 ³ <i>P</i> ₀	5.675	5.746	$5.732^{\pm 0.005}_{\pm 0.02}$	5.749	5.678	5.650	5.706	5.689			
$1P'_{1}$	5.820	5.785		5.774	5.699	5.690	5.742	5.744			
$1P_1$	5.749	5.764	5.723	5.723	5.686	5.690	5.700	5.731			
1 ³ <i>P</i> ₂	5.851	5.779	5.743	5.741	5.704	5.710	5.714	5.759			
2 ¹ S ₀	5.870	5.930		5.890	5.822	5.830	5.886	5.892			
2 ³ S ₁	5.934	5.946		5.906	5.848	5.870	5.920	5.924			
1 ³ <i>D</i> ₁	6.152	6.114		6.119	6.005	5.970	6.025				
$1D'_2$	6.170	6.125		6.121	5.955	5.980	6.037				
$1D_2$	6.076	6.056		6.103	5.920	5.960	5.985				
1 ³ D-	6.090	6.060		6 001	E 071	5 070	E 002	< ≣ > luly 2016			

34 / 84

Charles	This	work		Otl	ners	
State	Hydro.	Gauss.	[15]	[19]	[16]	[14]
2 ³ <i>P</i> ₀	5.997	6.225	6.221	6.010	6.060	6.163
2P 1	6.104	6.243	6.209	6.022	6.100	6.175
2P'_1	6.310	6.256	6.281	6.028	6.100	6.194
2 ³ P ₂	6.354	6.255	6.260	6.040	6.120	6.188
3 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	6.308	6.387	6.379	6.117	6.210	6.320
3 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	6.344	6.396	6.387	6.136	6.240	6.347
2^3D_1	6.505	6.522	6.534	6.248	6.240	
2 <i>D</i> ₂ '	6.531	6.532	6.554	6.207	6.320	
2D ₂	6.503	6.476	6.528	6.179	6.310	
2 ³ D ₃	6.522	6.479	6.542	6.140	6.320	
4 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	6.671	6.773	6.781	6.335	6.520	
4 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	6.696	6.779	6.786	6.351		

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• The parameters used were $A = 0.14 \text{ GeV}^{\nu+1}$, $m_s = 0.52 \text{ GeV}$, $m_b = 4.88 \text{ GeV}$, $\alpha_s = 0.58$.

			Hydrogenic			Gaussian			
nL	ν	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε(μ)	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	Ε(μ)	Expt.[21]	Theory
		(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{{\bf 3/2}})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
	0.5	1.017	0.725	5.401	0.391	0.367	5.401		5.404[15]
	1.0	1.320	1.073	5.401	0.515	0.555	5.401		5.404[19]
15	1.5	1.567	1.387	5.401	0.618	0.729	5.401	5.401	5.415[[16]
	2.0	1.753	1.642	5.401	0.867	0.850	5.401		5.409[14]

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

18 July 2016 36 / 84
			Hydrogenic			Gaussian			
nL	ν	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	$E(\mu)$	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	$E(\mu)$	Theory	
		(GeV)	$({\rm GeV}^{3/2})$	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV ^{3/2})	(GeV)	(GeV)	
	0.5	0.817	0.261	5.693	0.218	0.125	5.672	5.988[15]	_
	1.0	1.344	0.551	5.987	0.364	0.269	6.011	5.959[19]	
25	1.5	1.753	0.821	6.458	0.511	0.448	6.448	5.960[16]	
	2.0	2.027	1.020	7.314	0.623	0.603	7.128	6.011[14]	
	0.5	0.801	0.169	5.832	0.173	0.079	5.813	6.473[15]	
26	1.0	1.454	0.413	6.386	0.321	0.199	6.447	6.259[19]	
35	1.5	1.948	0.641	7.416	0.480	0.364	7.351	6.332[16]	
	2.0	2.273	0.808	9.488	0.600	0.510	8.911	6.442[14]	
	0.5	0.811	0.129	5.930	0.151	0.060	5.915	6.878[15]	
4.5	1.0	1.557	0.343	6.723	0.298	0.165	6.816	6.500[19]	
45	1.5	2.108	0.541	8.370	0.462	0.319	8.192		
	2.0	2.473	0.688	11.943	0.586	0.455	10.766		≡ v

Table 2.12: P-wave spin-averaged masses of the B_S meson.

		Hydro	ogenic	Gaus		
nL	ν	μ	$E(\mu)$	μ	Ε(μ)	Theory
		(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
	0.5	0.790	5.663	0.246	5.637	5.844[15]
	1.0	1.270	5.883	0.395	5.851	5.805[19]
IΡ	1.5	1.733	6.098	0.531	6.085	5.802[16]
	2.0	2.088	6.372	0.631	6.405	5.820[14]
	0.5	0.788	5.814	0.184	5.789	6.343[15]
20	1.0	1.427	6.314	0.334	6.310	6.161[19]
2 <i>P</i>	1.5	2.014	7.032	0.487	7.008	6.208[16]
	2.0	2.407	8.357	0.601	8.163	6.287[14]

▲ロト ▲掃ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ― ヨー のへで

18 July 2016 38 / 84

		Hydro	ogenic	Gau	ssian	
nL	ν	μ	Ε(μ)	μ	Ε(μ)	Theory
		(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)
	0.5	0.765	5.780	0.203	5.751	6.200[15]
10	1.0	1.345	6.186	0.356	6.147	6.047[19]
10	1.5	1.926	6.641	0.502	6.626	6.076[16]
	2.0	2.372	7.281	0.605	7.239	6.114[14]
	0.5	0.788	5.896	0.167	5.867	6.635[15]
20	1.0	1.506	6.571	0.318	6.546	6.323[19]
2D	1.5	2.207	7.556	0.473	7.501	6.406[16]
	2.0	2.683	9.417	0.586	9.069	

18 July 2016 39 / 84

Ξ.

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Table 2.13: Mass spectrum of the B_S meson(in GeV).

Charles	This	work	E		Otl	ners		
State	Hydro.	Gauss.	Expt.[21]	[15]	[19]	[16]	[14]	
1 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	5.236	5.355	5.366	5.372	5.366	5.370	5.373	
1 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	5.461	5.417	5.415	5.414	5.417	5.430	5.421	
1 ³ <i>P</i> 0	5.764	5.820		5.833	5.781	5.750	5.804	
$1P'_1$	5.898	5.857	5.853	5.865	5.805	5.790	5.842	
$1P_1$	5.835	5.845	5.829	5.831	5.795	5.800	5.805	
$1^{3}P_{2}$	5.927	5.859	5.840	5.842	5.815	5.820	5.820	
2 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	5.942	5.998		5.976	5.939	5.930	5.985	
2 ³ S ₁	6.003	6.016		5.992	5.966	5.970	6.019	
1 ³ <i>D</i> ₁	6.209	6.188		6.209	6.094	6.070	6.127	
$1D'_2$	6.226	6.199		6.218	6.067	6.080	6.140	
1D ₂	6.156	6.110		6.189	6.043	6.070	6.095	
1 ³ D-	6 169	6 100		6 101	6 016	6 090		ł

C 1.1.1	This	work		Others					
State	Hydro.	Gauss.	[15]	[19]	[16]	[14]			
2 ³ <i>P</i> 0	6.090	6.283	6.318	6.143	6.170	6.264			
2P 1	6.190	6.306	6.345	6.153	6.200	6.278			
$2P'_1$	6.362	6.312	6.321	6.160	6.210	6.296			
2 ³ P ₂	6.404	6.317	6.359	6.170	6.220	6.292			
3 ¹ <i>S</i> 0	6.360	6.441	6.467	6.254	6.310	6.421			
3 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	6.395	6.449	6.475	6.274	6.340	6.449			
$2^{3}D_{1}$	6.555	6.579	6.629	6.362	6.340				
$2D'_2$	6.579	6.588	6.651	6.339	6.420				
2D ₂	6.562	6.517	6.625	6.320	6.410				
2 ³ D ₃	6.579	6.524	6.637	6.298	6.420				
4 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	6.705	6.812	6.874	6.487	6.620				
4 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	6.729	6.818	6.879	6.504					

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つへぐ

We have employed $A = 0.175~{\rm GeV}^{\nu+1}$, $m_b = 4.88$ GeV, $m_c = 1.55$ GeV and $\alpha_s = 0.45$.

			Hydrogenic			Gaussian		
nL	ν	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	$E(\mu)$	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	$E(\mu)$	Theory (GeV)
		(GeV)	GeV ^{3/2}	(GeV)	(GeV)	GeV ^{3/2}	(GeV)	
	0.5	1.776	1.674	6.318	0.680	0.843	6.318	6.318[33]
	1.0	2.104	2.158	6.318	0.810	1.095	6.318	6.316,[34]
1S	1.5	2.409	2.643	6.318	0.920	1.326	6.318	6.321[35]
	2.0	2.683	3.108	6.318	1.013	1.532	6.318	6.301[32]
								6.319[30]
	0.5	1.352	0.556	6.659	0.362	0.267	6.627	6.890[33]
	1.0	2.013	1.010	6.890	0.542	0.490	6.893	6.869[34]
2 S	1.5	2.621	1.500	7.134	0.716	0.743	7.196	6.879[35]
	2.0	3 1 2 0	1 057	7 403	0 875	1 003	7 504	18 July 2016 42 / 84

Table 2.14: S wave spin averaged masses of the B_c meson.

			Hydrogenio	2		Gaussian		_
nL	ν	μ	R(0)	$E(\mu)$	μ	<i>R</i> (0)	$E(\mu)$	Theory (GeV)
		(GeV)	GeV ^{3/2}	(GeV)	(GeV)	GeV ^{3/2}	(GeV)	
	0.5	1.300	0.349	6.809	0.283	0.165	6.777	7.248[33]
3S	1.0	2.155	0.746	7.247	0.542	0.438	7.302	7.224[34]
	1.5	2.964	1.203	7.761	0.665	0.595	7.916	7.266[35]
	2.0	3.615	1.620	8.420	0.850	0.859	8.602	
	0.5	1.303	0.263	6.912	0.244	0.123	6.883	7.539[33]
46	1.0	2.305	0.619	7.539	0.437	0.293	7.610	
45	1.5	3.270	1.046	8.340	0.640	0.520	8.575	
	2.0	4.018	1.424	9.498	0.840	0.782	9.677	
	0.5	1.321	0.215	6.993	0.221	0.099	6.969	7.796[33]
50	1.0	2.445	0.541	7.796	0.413	0.254	7.901	
55	1.5	3.538	0.941	8.899	0.624	0.472	9.199	
	2.0	4.357	1.286	10.653	0.835	0.730	10.743	

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

בּאַרָּבּאָ בּ יאַמּע 18 July 2016 43 / 84

Table 2.15: P and D-wave spin-averaged masses of the B_C meson.

		Hydro	ogenic	Gau	ssian	_
nL	ν	μ	$E(\mu)$	μ	$E(\mu)$	Theory (GeV)
		(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	
	0.5	1.314	6.629	0.408	6.757	6.818[33]
	1.0	1.899	6.808	0.588	6.765	6.746,[34]
1P	1.5	2.434	6.987	0.746	6.928	6.751[35]
	2.0	2.917	7.152	0.882	7.075	6.728[32]
						6.736[30]
	0.5	1.281	6.792	0.299	6.880	7.183[33]
	1.0	2.097	7.197	0.490	7.174	7.140[34]
2P	1.5	2.883	7.652	0.676	7.659	7.152[35]
	2.0	3.586	8.135	0.849	8.162	7.122[32]
						7.142[30]
						그는 소란은 소문은 소문

18 July 2016 44 / 84

		Hydro	ogenic	Gau	issian	_	
nL ν		μ	$E(\mu)$	μ	$E(\mu)$	Theory (GeV)	
		(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)	(GeV)		
0.	5	1.291	6.901	0.303	6.955	7.486[33]	
1.	0	2.269	7.505	0.447	7.509		
3P 1.	5	3.244	8.235	0.646	8.324		
2.	0	4.092	9.119	0.838	9.229		
0.	5	1.246	6.758	0.330	6.720	7.086[33]	
1.	0	1.982	7.087	0.523	7.037	7.078[34]	
1D 1.	5	2.670	7.437	0.699	7.365	7.039[35]	
2.	0	3.297	7.775	0.854	7.675	7.008[32]	
						7.009[30]	
0.	5	1.270	6.878	0.268	6.840	7.428[33]	
1.	0	2.199	7.430	0.464	7.389		
20	5	3.122	8.081	0.658	8.043		
2.	0	3.968	8.789	0.839	8.741 4		★夏≯

Table 2.16: Mass spectrum of the B_C meson(in GeV).

States	Hydro.	Gauss.	Expt.[21]	Ref.[33]	Ref.[34]	Ref[35]	Ref[32]	Ref[30]
1 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	6.144	6.271	6.277	6.272	6.270	6.271	6.253	6.264
1 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	6.376	6.334		6.333	6.332	6.338	6.317	6.337
1 ³ <i>P</i> ₀	6.784	6.725		6.784	6.699	6.699	6.683	6.700
$1P_1$	6.720	6.759		6.720	6.734	6.741	6.717	6.730
$1P'_{1}$	6.810	6.763		6.810	6,749	6.750	6.729	6.736
1 ³ <i>P</i> ₂	6.888	6.777		6.888	6,762	6.768	6.743	6.747
2 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	6.850	6.883		6.850	6.835	6.855	6.867	6.856
2 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	6.904	6.896		6.904	6.881	6.887	6.902	6.899
1 ³ <i>D</i> ₁	7.082	7.031		7.082	7.072	7.028	7.008	7.012
1D 2	7.076	7.036		7.076	7.077	7.036	7.001	7.009
$1D'_2$	7.090	7.044		7.090	7.079	7.041	7.016	7.012
1 ³ <i>D</i> ₃	7.092	7.037		7.092	7.081	7.045	7.007	7.005

18 July 2016 46 / 84

-

States	Hydro.	Gauss.	Ref.[33]	Ref.[34]	Ref[35]	Ref[32]	Ref[30]
2 ³ <i>P</i> ₀	7.155	7.144	7.155	7.091	7.122	7.088	7.108
2 <i>P</i> 1	7.067	7.169	7.067	7.126	7.145	7.113	7.135
$2P'_{1}$	7.206	7.173	7.206	7.145	7.150	7.124	7.142
2 ³ P ₂	7.244	7.174	7.244	7.156	7.164	7.134	7.153
3 ¹ S ₀	7.225	7.295	7.225	7.193	7.250		
3 ³ <i>S</i> ₁	7.255	7.305	7.255	7.235	7.272		
2 ³ D ₁	7.426	7.384	7.426				
2D ₂	7.405	7.387	7.405				
$2D'_2$	7.429	7.395	7.429				
2 ³ D ₃	7.444	7.389	7.444				
3 ³ <i>P</i> 0	7.445	7.482	7.445				
3P ₁	7.333	7.505	7.333				
3P'_1	7.517	7.509	7.517				
3 ³ P ₂	7.567	7.518	7.567				
4 ¹ <i>S</i> ₀	7.524	7.606	7.524		< □ >		× ₹×

18 July 2016 47 / 84

E1 and M1 transitions of heavy-light flavored mesons

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

E1 radiative transitions

- Electric transitions do not change quark spin. The lowest nonrelativistic order transition is the electric dipole (E1) transition. These transitions have △I = ±1 and △s = 0.
- The E1 matrix elements are determined by using the variational radial wave functions of initial and final state and explicitly performing the angular integration given by[10]

$$\hat{T}_{fi} = \frac{4\alpha}{9} \left(\frac{e_Q m_{\tilde{q}} - e_{\tilde{q}} m_Q}{m_{\tilde{q}} + m_Q} \right)^2 k^3 |\langle f | r | i \rangle|^2 \frac{E_f}{M_i} \times \\ \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } {}^3 P_J \to {}^3 S_1 \\ 1 & \text{for } {}^1 P_1 \to {}^1 S_0 \\ (2J+1)/3 & \text{for } {}^3 S_1 \to {}^3 P_J \\ 3 & \text{for } {}^1 S_0 \to {}^1 P_1 \end{cases}$$
(11)

Here, α is the fine structure constant, k is the photon energy, $e_{\bar{q}}$ and e_Q are the quark charges in units of the proton charge, E_f is the energy of the final meson state, M_i is the mass of the initial meson state, and $m_{\bar{q}}$ and m_Q are the quark masses.

Here

$$k = \frac{M_{i}^{2} - M_{f}^{2}}{M^{2}}; \quad \langle f | r | i \rangle = \int dr R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(r) R_{n_{f}l_{f}}(R) \rightarrow (\exists r) R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(r) R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(R) \rightarrow (\exists r) R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(r) R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(R) \rightarrow (\exists r) R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(r) R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(r) R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(R) \rightarrow (\exists r) R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(r) R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(R) \rightarrow (\exists r) R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(r) R_{n_{i}l_{i}}(r)$$

D_S Meson

Table 3.1: E1 transitions in D_s Meson.

Transition	k (MeV)		Γ(keV)		- [36]	[10]	[37]	[22]
	Hydrog.	Gauss.	Hydrog.	Gauss.	[]	1 1	[]	
$D_{s2} \rightarrow D_s^* \gamma$	0.374	0.410	9.3	8.7	8.8	44.1	19	
$D'_{s1} \rightarrow D^{\star}_{s} \gamma$	0.301	0.388	4.5	6.2	4.76	8.90	5.6	
$D_{s1}^{\prime +} ightarrow D_{s}\gamma$	0.654	0.506	43.8	13.5	3.49	54.5	15	
$D_{s1} \rightarrow D_s \gamma$	0.624	0.498	4.0	2.6	4.90	12.8	6.2	
$D_{s1} \rightarrow D_s^{\star} \gamma$	0.266	0.380	0.3	1.2	0.13	15.5	5.5	
$D_{s0} \rightarrow D_s^{\star} \gamma$	0.086	0.305	0.1	3.6	1.0	4.92	1.9	
$2^{3}S_{1}[D_{s}(2710)] \rightarrow D_{s2}\gamma$	0.072	0.153	0.3	0.7				0.1
$2^{3}S_{1}[D_{5}(2710)] \rightarrow D_{50}\gamma$	0.362	0.264	6.5	0.7				6.9

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 3 18 July 2016

D Meson

	k (G	eV)	Γ(ke	V)	[0.6]	[0=]	Ref[3	8] and	[39]	[40	0]
Iransition -	Hydr.	Gauss.	Hydr	Gauss.	[36]	[37]-	WR	R	Rel	NR	rel
$D^+_2 \rightarrow D^{\star +} \gamma$	0.375	0.413	17.99	17.00	51	59	50	7	0.14	15.0	6.49
$D_{\bf 1}^{\prime +} \to D^{\star +} \gamma$	0.299	0.397	08.33	13.77	30.87	36	13	2.6	0.22		
$D_{\rm l}^{\prime +} \to D^+ \gamma$	0.653	0.518	83.61	30.20	21.71	14	59	0.69	1.6		
$D^+_{\bf 1} \to D^+ \gamma$	0.620	0.503	06.83	02.82	39.59	58	14	1.8			
$D^+_{1} ightarrow D^{\star +} \gamma$	0.259	0.381	0.52	01.24	10.25	8.6	9	4.1			
$D^+_{0} \rightarrow D^{\star +} \gamma$	0.067	0.310	0.10	07.23	17	28	3.3	2.4		76	7.55
$2^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow D_{2}\gamma$	0.077	0.161	0.585	1.585							
$2^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow D_{0}\gamma$	0.384	0.272	14.570	1.515							
$2^{1}S_{0} \rightarrow D'_{1}\gamma$	0.000	0.140	0.000	1.853	76						
$2^{1}S_{0} \rightarrow D_{1}\gamma$	0.045	0.158	0.210	2.692	12.3						

Table 3.2: E1 transitions in D Meson.

∃ 990

イロン 不聞と 不同と 不同と

B and B_S mesons

Table 3.3: E1 transitions in the B and B_5 mesons

		ВM	eson		B _s Meson				
Transition	k(MeV)		Г(ke	Γ(keV)		eV)	Γ(ke	V)	
	Hydrog.	Gauss.	Hydrog.	Gauss.	Hydrog.	Gauss.	Hydrog.	Gauss.	
$1^{3}P_{2} ightarrow 1^{3}S_{1}\gamma$	0.448	0.425	153.07	131.36	0.448	0.425	153.07	131.36	
$1P'_{1} ightarrow 1^{3}S_{1}\gamma$	0.421	0.423	125.95	122.87	0.421	0.423	125.95	122.87	
$1P'_{\bf 1} \rightarrow 1^{\bf 1}S_{\bf 0}\gamma$	0.625	0.480	410.89	179.35	0.625	0.480	410.89	179.35	
$1 P_{1} \to 1^{1} S_{0} \gamma$	0.568	0.469	2.99	8.98	0.568	0.469	2.99	8.98	
$1P_{1} ightarrow 1^{3}S_{1}\gamma$	0.362	0.412	0.78	6.09	0.362	0.412	0.78	06.09	
$1^{3}P_{0} ightarrow 1^{3}S_{1}\gamma$	0.296	0.389	43.89	100.54	0.296	0.389	43.89	100.54	
$2^{3}S_{1} ightarrow 1^{3}P_{2}\gamma$	0.075	0.154	7.97	10.33	0.075	0.154	2.91	10.33	
$2^{3}S_{1} ightarrow 1^{3}P_{0}\gamma$	0.234	0.154	1.59	2.07	0.234	0.154	17.38	2.07	
$2^{1}S_{0} ightarrow 1P_{1}^{\prime}\gamma$	0.044	0.142	1.00	13.79	0.044	0.142	1.00	13.79	
$2^{1}S_{0} ightarrow 1P_{1}\gamma$	0.106	0.154	0.14	0.94	0.106	0.154	0.14	0.94	

イロン 不聞と 不同と 不同と

3 52 / 84 18 July 2016

B_C meson

T	k (GeV)	Γ(ke	≥V)		[24]	[20]	[20]
Transition	Hydr.	Gauss.	Hydr.	Gauss.	[35]	[34]	[32]	[30]
$\mathbf{1^3}P_2 \to \mathbf{1^3}S_1 + \gamma$	0.493	0.429	302.485	81.955	83	107	102.9	112.6
$1 P_{1}' \to 1^{3} S_{1} + \gamma$	0.420	0.415	7.514	10.625	11	13.6	8.1	0.1
$1P_{1} \rightarrow 1^{3}S_{1} + \gamma$	0.335	0.412	91.411	62.301	60	78.9	77.8	99.5
$1 P_{1}' \to 1^{1} S_{0} + \gamma$	0.633	0.474	617.743	95.146	80	132	131.1	56.4
$1P_{1} \rightarrow 1^{1}S_{0} + \gamma$	0.551	0.470	16.945	15.399	13	18.4	11.6	0.0
$\mathbf{1^3}P_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{1^3}S_{1} + \gamma$	0.396	0.380	156.623	57.009	55	67.2	65.3	79.2
$\mathbf{2^3}S_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{1^3}P_2 + \gamma$	0.016	0.118	0.047	3.417	5.7	5.18	14.8	17.7
$2^{3}S_{1} ightarrow 1P_{1}' + \gamma$	0.093	0.132	0.225	0.406	0.7	0.63	1.0	0.0
$2^{3}S_{1} ightarrow 1P_{1} + \gamma$	0.182	0.136	39.640	2.672	4.7	5.05	12.08	14.5
$\mathbf{2^3}S_1 \to \mathbf{1^3}P_0 + \gamma$	0.119	0.169	3.873	2.004	2.9	3.78	7.7	7.8
$\mathbf{2^1}S_{0} \rightarrow 1P'_{1} + \gamma$	0.040	0.119	1.261	5.408	6.1	3.72	15.9	5.2
$\mathbf{2^1}S_{0} \rightarrow 1P_{1} + \gamma$	0.129	0.123	1.772	0.988	1.3	1.02	< <u>1</u> .9 ∢	■ 0.0
							18 July	/ 2016

Table 3.4: E1 transitions in B_C meson

M1 transitions

 The M1 rate for transitions where △I = 0 between S-wave levels is given by[22, 41, 42]

$$\Gamma_{M1}(i \rightarrow f + \gamma) = \frac{16\alpha}{3} \mu^2 k^3 (2J_f + 1) \left| \langle f | j_0(kr/2) | i \rangle \right|^2,$$

where the magnetic dipole moment is

$$\mu = \frac{m_{\bar{q}}e_Q - m_Q e_{\bar{q}}}{4m_{\bar{q}}m_Q}$$

and k as before is the photon energy.

Here

$$\langle f | r | i \rangle = \int dr R_{n_i l_i}(r) j_0(kr/2) R_{n_f l_f}(r)$$
(13)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

18 July 2016

э

D_S meson

Table 3.5: M1 transitions in D_s Meson.

Transition	k (0	GeV)	Г(Ю	(eV)	[10]	[43]
	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.	[]	[]
$1^3S_1 ightarrow 1^1S_0\gamma$	0.403	0.063	5.980	0.3017	1.91	0.2
$2^3S_1 ightarrow 2^1S_0\gamma$	0.152	0.008	0.352	0.0061		
$3^3S_1 ightarrow 3^1S_0\gamma$	0.091	0.003	0.078	0.0011		
$4^3S_1 ightarrow 4^1S_0\gamma$	0.065	0.002	0.029	0.0004		

3

イロン 不聞と 不同と 不同と

D meson

Table 3.6: M1 transitions in D Meson.

Transition	k (GeV)	Γ(keV)	[36]	[43]	[4	0]
	Hydr. Gaus	. Hydr. Gau	i.		NR	Rel
$1^3S_1 ightarrow 1^1S_0\gamma$	0.406 0.147	7 13.10 0.33	9 1.8	0.90 ± 0.02	1.38	0.08
$2^3S_1 ightarrow 2^1S_0\gamma$	0.160 0.041	0.88 0.00	7			
$3^3S_1 ightarrow 3^1S_0\gamma$	0.097 0.023	0.20 0.00	1			
$4^3S_1 ightarrow 4^1S_0\gamma$	0.069 0.016	0.07 0.00	0			

∃ 990

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

B and B_S mesons

Table 3.7: M1 transitions in the B and B_S mesons.

Transition	k(MeV)	Γ(keV)				[47]	
	Hydr. Gaus.	Hydr. Gaus.	[44]	[45]	[46]		
$1^3S_1 ightarrow 1^1S_0\gamma$	0.224 0.064	52.12 1.258	0.19	0.272	0.085	0.22 ± 0.09	
$2^3S_1 ightarrow 2^1S_0\gamma$	0.063 0.016	1.24 0.018					
$3^3S_1 ightarrow 3^1S_0\gamma$	0.036 0.009	0.22 0.003					
$4^3S_1 ightarrow 4^1S_0\gamma$	0.025 0.006	0.08 0.001					

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

B_C meson

	k (k (GeV)		eV)	[05]	[24]	[20]	[20]	
	Hydr.	Gauss.	Hydr.	Gauss.	[35]	[34]	[32]	[30]	
$1^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 1^{1}S_{0}\gamma$	0.228	0.063	5956	23.5	80	33	60	134.5	
$2^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 2^{1}S_{0}\gamma$	0.054	0.013	80	2		17	10		
$3^3S_1 \rightarrow 3^1S_0\gamma$	0.030	0.010	14	1					
$4^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 4^{1}S_{0}\gamma$	0.020	0.005	4	0					
$5^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 5^{1}S_{0}\gamma$	0.016	0.004	2	0					
$6^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 6^{1}S_{0}\gamma$	0.009	0.003	0	0					

Table 3.8: M1 transitions in the B_C meson

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Decay properties of heavy-light flavored mesons

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Decay constants

• The decay constants of mesons are important parameters in the study of leptonic or non-leptonic weak decay processes. The decay constants of pseudoscalar (*f_P*) and vector (*f_V*) mesons are obtained by parameterizing the matrix elements of weak current between the corresponding mesons and the vacuum as

$$\langle 0|\,\bar{Q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}Q\,|P_{\mu}(k)\rangle = if_{P}k^{\mu} \tag{14}$$

$$\langle 0 | \bar{Q} \gamma^{\mu} Q | V(k, \epsilon) \rangle = f_V M_V \epsilon^{\mu}$$
(15)

where k is the meson momentum, ϵ^{μ} and M_{V} are the polarization vector and mass of the vector meson.

 Incorporating a First order QCD correction factor , we compute the decay constants using the relation,

$$f_{P/V}^{2} = \frac{12 \left| \psi_{P/V}(0) \right|^{2}}{M_{P/V}} \bar{C}^{2}(\alpha_{S})$$
(16)

Where $\bar{C}^2(\alpha_S)$ is the QCD correction factor given by[48]

$$\bar{C}^{2}(\alpha_{S}) = 1 - \frac{\alpha_{S}}{\pi} \left[2 - \frac{m_{Q} - m_{\bar{q}}}{m_{Q} + m_{\bar{q}}} \ln \frac{m_{Q}}{m_{\bar{q}}} \right]$$
(17)

18 July 2016

D_S meson

Table 4.1: Pseudoscalar decay constants of D_s mesons(in GeV).

1	LS	2	25	3	3S	4	4S		
Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.		
0.533	0.315	0.286	0.141	0.205	0.098	0.163	0.077		
(0.379)	(0.224)	(0.204)	(0.100)	(0.146)	(0.070)	(0.116)	(0.055)		
0.254 ± 0	0.006[49]								
0.248 ± 0	0.002[50]								
0.235 ± 0).024[51]								

イロン 不聞と 不同と 不同と

18 July 2016

Ξ.

D_S meson

Table 4.2: Vector decay constants of D_s mesons(in GeV).

1	S	2	S	3	s	4	S
Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.
0.652	0.329	0.296	0.142	0.208	0.098	0.165	0.077
(0.464)	(0.234)	(0.211)	(0.101)	(0.148)	(0.070)	(0.117)	(0.055)
0.335[52, 1	53]						
$0.326^{+0.02}_{-0.0}$	21 17 ^[54]						
0.254[55]							
0.242[56]							
$0.298\pm0.$	011[57]						

18 July 2016 62 / 84

∃ 990

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

D meson

Table 4.3: Pseudoscalar decay constants of *D* mesons(in GeV).

15		2	s	3	S	4S	
Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydr.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.
0.498	0.302	0.274	0.136	0.195	0.094	0.155	0.073
(0.363)	(0.220)	(0.199)	(0.099)	(0.142)	(0.068)	(0.113)	(0.053)

 $0.206 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.002 \text{[49]}$

 $0.207 \pm 0.004 [58]$

 $0.230 \pm 0.025 [59]$

0.234[60]

0.248[61]

18 July 2016 63 / 84

э

イロン 不聞と 不同と 不同と

D meson

Table 4.4: Vector decay constants of *D* meson(in GeV).

1	LS	2	2S	3	S	4	4S		
Hydr.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydr.	Gauss.	Hydr.	Gauss.		
0.626	0.316	0.284	0.137	0.198	0.094	0.156	0.073		
(0.456)	(0.231)	(0.207)	(0.100)	(0.145)	(0.068)	(0.114)	(0.054)		
$0.223^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	⁾²³)19[54]								
0.234[55]									
0.262 ± 0	0.010[62]								
0.237[56]									

イロン 不聞と 不同と 不同と

18 July 2016

3

B meson

Table 4.5: Pseudoscalar decay constants of *B* meson(in GeV).

1	S	2	2S		3S	4	S
Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydr.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.
0.410	0.216	0.204	0.101	0.149	0.072	0.120	0.058
0.191 ± 0	0.009[63]						
0.197 ± 0	0.009[64]						
0.193 ± 0	0.011[49]						
0.198 ± 0	0.014[65]						
0.196 ± 0	0.029[59]						
0.189[60]							
0.195 ± 0	0.011[66]						
0.231 ± 0	0.009[57]						

イロン 不聞と 不同と 不同と

18 July 2016

3

B meson

Table 4.6: Vector decay constants of *B* meson(in GeV).

1S		25		:	3S	4S	
Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydr. Gauss.		Hydro.	Gauss.
0.420	0.218	0.206	0.101	0.149	0.072	0.120	0.058
$0.196^{+0.028}_{-0.027}[54]$							
0.190[55]]						
0.164[56]]						
0.194 ± 0	0.008[67]						
0.252 ± 0	0.010[57]						

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

B_S meson

Table 4.7: Pseudoscalar decay constants of B_s meson(in GeV).

1S		2S		3	3S	4S	
Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydr.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.
0.443	0.232	0.219	0.107	0.159	0.077	0.129	0.062
0.227 ± 0	0.010[63]						
0.242 ± 0	0.010[64]						
0.231 ± 0	0.015[49]						
0.237 ± 0	0.017[65]						
0.216 ± 0	0.032[59]						
0.218[60]							
$0.243 \pm 0.011[66]$							
0.266 ± 0	0.010[57]						

3

イロン 不聞と 不同と 不同と

B_S meson

Table 4.8: Vector decay constants of B_s meson(in GeV).

1S		25			3S	4S	
Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydr. Gauss.		Hydro.	Gauss.
0.454	0.234	0.220	0.107	0.160	0.077	0.129	0.062
$0.229^{+0.032}_{-0.031}$ [54]							
0.217[55]							
0.225 ± 0	0.009[56]						
$0.289 \pm 0.011 [57]$							

イロン 不聞と 不同と 不同と

18 July 2016

3

B_C meson

State	Pre	esent work	[68]	[34]	[69]	
1S	Hydro	0.826(0.660)	0.400	0 422	0.427(6)(2)	
	Gauss.	0.424(0.339)	0.402	0.455		
25	Hydro	0.375(0.299)				
25	Gauss.	0.182(0.145)				
26	Hydro	0.270(0.216)				
35	Gauss.	0.158(0.126)				
46	Hydro	0.220(0.176)				
45	Gauss.	0.104(0.083)				
5S	Hydro	0.189(0.151)				
	Gauss.	0.088(0.071)				
6S	Hydro	0.142(0.113)				
	Gauss.	0.078(0.062)				

Table 4.9: Pseudoscalar decay constants of B_c .

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

B_C meson

Table 4.10: Vector decay constants of B_c .

State	Pre	esent work	[68]	[34]	
1S	Hydro	0.843(0.674)	0 421	0.503	
	Gauss.	0.426(0.340)	0.431		
25	Hydro	0.376(0.301)			
25	Gauss.	0.182(0.146)			
26	Hydro	0.271(0.216)			
35	Gauss.	0.158(0.127)			
46	Hydro	0.220(0.176)			
45	Gauss.	0.104(0.083)			
50	Hydro	0.189(0.151)			
55	Gauss.	0.088(0.071)			
6S	Hydro	0.142(0.113)			
	Gauss.	0.078(0.062)			

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Mixing parameters

• The mixing parameters are the integrated oscillation rate (χ_q), x_q and y_q given by

$$\chi_q = \frac{x_q^2 + y_q^2}{2(x_q^2 + 1)}, \quad \text{where},$$
(18)

$$x_q = \frac{\Delta m_q}{\Gamma_q} = \Delta m_q \tau_{B_q} \qquad y_q = \frac{\Delta \Gamma_q}{2\Gamma_q} = \frac{\Delta \Gamma_q \tau_{B_q}}{2}.$$
 (19)

where

$$\bigtriangleup m_q = 2 |M_{12}^q| \text{ and } \bigtriangleup \Gamma_q = 2 |\Gamma_{12}^q|$$
(20)

• The off-diagonal elements of the mass and the decay matrices are [70]

$$M_{12} = -\frac{G_F^2 m_W^2 \eta_B m_{B_q} B_{B_q} f_{B_q}^2}{12\pi^2} S_0 \left(m_t^2 / m_W^2 \right) \left(V_{tq}^* V_{tb} \right)^2,$$
(21)

$$\Gamma_{12} = \frac{G_F^2 m_b^2 \eta'_B m_{B_q} B_{B_q} f_{B_q}^2}{8\pi} \left[\left(V_{tq}^{\star} V_{tb} \right)^2 \right],$$
(22)

The known function $S_0(x_t)$ can be approximated by $0.784x_t^{0.76}$ [71] and V_{ij} are the elements of the CKM matrix. The parameters n_0 and n' correspondent of the CKM matrix.

Mixing parameters

Table 4.11: Mixing parameters for B Meson.

ν	Δm (in ps^{-1})			x		у		x	
	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss	Hydro.	Gauss	Hydro.	Gauss	
0.5	0.808	0.213	1.232	0.325	0.0043	0.0011	0.3014	0.0478	
1.0	1.735	0.498	2.646	0.759	0.0092	0.0026	0.4375	0.1827	
1.5	2.744	0.841	4.185	1.283	0.0146	0.0045	0.4730	0.3111	
2.0	3.642	1.161	5.555	1.771	0.0194	0.0062	0.4843	0.3791	
Expt.[21]	0.507 ± 0.005		$\textbf{0.774} \pm \textbf{0.008}$				0.1873 \pm	0.0024	
SM[72]	0.543 ± 0	0.091							
$\textbf{[73]}(\nu=1)$	0.593		0.9014		0.0046		0.2242		

Ξ.

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト
Mixing parameters

Table 4.12: Mixing paramters in B_s meson

ν	Δm (in ps^{-1})		x		у		X	
	Hydro.	Gauss.	Hydro.	Gauss	Hydro.	Gauss	Hydro.	Gauss
0.5	20.093	5.289	29.578	7.785	0.1031	0.0271	0.4994	0.4919
1.0	42.266	11.896	62.216	17.511	0.2168	0.0610	0.4999	0.4984
1.5	67.566	20.286	99.457	29.862	0.3466	0.1041	0.5000	0.4994
2.0	90.437	31.425	133.123	46.257	0.4639	0.1612	0.5000	0.4998
Expt.	$\textbf{17.77} \pm \textbf{0.10[74]}$		$\textbf{26.2} \pm \textbf{0.5[21]}$				0.4993[21]	
SM[72]	17.30 ± 2.6							
$\textbf{[73]}(\nu=1)$	23.26		33.95		0.1722		0.4996	

Ξ.

イロン 不聞と 不同と 不同と

Conculsion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Conclusions

In this work we used potential model to describe various properties of the heavy-light mesons using the gaussian and hydrogen like wave function. The conclusions to be drawn from the present work are the following:

- In the present work various properties of heavy-light mesons are successfully reproduced using the potential model scheme by incorporating the kinematic relativistic correction. It can be inferred that one can successfully extend the non-relativistic potential model approach to heavy-light mesons with suitable corrections even though the light quark is relativistic.
- Looking at the mass spectra of heavy-light mesons it is observed that the spin-averaged masses as well as the complete mass spectra are in better agreement with the gaussian wave function. Since the gaussian wave function is more suitable to the confining interaction; based on the present study it can be concluded that the confining interaction seems to play an important role particularly in the case of heavy-light flavoured mesons.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Conclusions

- The results for E1 and M1 dipole transitions due to the gaussian wave function are in satisfactory agreement with other theoretical and experimental predictions for some heavylight flavoured mesons. Although more precise experimental measurements are required in most cases.
- In the case of decay constants we find that the results due to hydrogen like wave function are overestimated while the results due to gaussian wave function are in better agreement with other theoretical estimates.
- Overall it can be concluded that the gaussian wave function can be successfully used to obtain various properties of heavy-light flavoured mesons.

(日) (周) (日) (日)

References

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

References

- [1] G.S. Bali et al. ((SESAM and T χ L Collaborations)), Phys. Rev. D 62, 054503 (2000)
- [2] C. Alexandrou, P. de Forcrand, O. Jahn, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 119, 667 (2003)
- [3] E. Eichten et al., Phys. Rev. D 17(11), 3090 (1978)
- [4] C. Quigg, J.L. Rosner, Phys.Rept. 56, 167 (1979)
- [5] P. Vinodkumar et al., Eur.Phys.J. A4, 83 (1999)
- [6] A. Martin, Phys.Lett. **B93**, 338 (1980)
- [7] W. Buchmüller, S.H.H. Tye, Phys. Rev. D 24, 132 (1981)
- [8] P. Falkensteiner, D. Flamm, F. Schoberl, Phys.Lett. B131, 450 (1983)
- [9] D.P. Stanley, D. Robson, Phys. Rev. D 21, 3180 (1980)
- [10] S.F. Radford, W.W. Repko, M.J. Saelim, Phys. Rev. D 80(3), 034012 (2009)
- [11] J. Link et al. (FOCUS Collaboration), Phys.Lett. B586, 11 (2004)
- [12] K. Abe et al. ((Belle Collaboration)), Phys. Rev. D 69, 112002 (2004)

- ∢ ≣ →

A B A A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

- [13] S. Anderson et al. (CLEO), Nucl. Phys. A663, 647 (2000)
- [14] M. Di Pierro, E. Eichten, Phys. Rev. D 64(11), 114004 (2001)
- [15] D. Ebert, R. Faustov, V. Galkin, Eur.Phys.J. C66, 197 (2010)
- [16] J. Zeng, J.W. Van Orden, W. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D 52(9), 5229 (1995)
- [17] S. Godfrey, R. Kokoski, Phys. Rev. D 43(5), 1679 (1991)
- [18] S.N. Gupta, J.M. Johnson, Phys. Rev. D 51(1), 168 (1995)
- [19] T. Lahde, C. Nyfalt, D. Riska, Nucl. Phys. A674, 141 (2000)
- [20] Y.b. Dai, C.S. Huang, H.Y. Jin, Phys.Lett. B331, 174 (1994)
- [21] J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys.Rev. **D86**, 010001 (2012)
- [22] D.M. Li, P.F. Ji, B. Ma, Eur.Phys.J. C71, 1 (2011), ISSN 1434-6044
- [23] N. Isgur, M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66(9), 1130 (1991)
- [24] A.K. Rai, R.H. Parmar, P.C. Vinodkumar, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 28(8), 2275 (2002)

э.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- [25] A.K. Rai, J.N. Pandya, P.C. Vinodkumar, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 31(12), 1453 (2005)
- [26] A.K. Rai, B. Patel, P.C. Vinodkumar, Phys. Rev. C 78(5), 055202 (2008)
- [27] A.M. Badalian, A.I. Veselov, B.L.G. Bakker, Phys. Rev. D 70, 016007 (2004)
- [28] Y.A. Simonov, Physics of Atomic Nuclei 58, 107 (1995)
- [29] D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov, V.O. Galkin, Phys. Rev. D 79, 114029 (2009)
- [30] E.J. Eichten, C. Quigg, Phys.Rev. D49, 5845 (1994)
- [31] D. Gromes, Z.Phys. **C26**, 401 (1984)
- [32] S. Gershtein et al., Phys.Usp. 38, 1 (1995)
- [33] D. Ebert, R. Faustov, V. Galkin, Eur.Phys.J. C71, 1825 (2011)
- [34] D. Ebert, R. Faustov, V. Galkin, Phys.Rev. **D67**, 014027 (2003)
- [35] S. Godfrey, Phys.Rev. **D70**, 054017 (2004)
- [36] F.E. Close, E.S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D 72(9), 094004 (2005)

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- [37] S. Godfrey, Phys.Rev. D72, 054029 (2005)
- [38] Fayyazuddin, Riazuddin, Phys. Rev. D 48, 2224 (1993)
- [39] Fayyazuddin, Riazuddin, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics 24(1), 23 (1998)
- [40] O. Lakhina, E.S. Swanson, Phys. Lett. B650, 159 (2007)
- [41] W.A. Bardeen, E.J. Eichten, C.T. Hill, Phys. Rev. D 68(5), 054024 (2003)
- [42] N. Brambilla et al., Eur.Phys.J. C71, 1534 (2011)
- [43] H.M. Choi, C.R. Ji, Phys. Rev. D 59(7), 074015 (1999)
- [44] D. Ebert, R. Faustov, V. Galkin, Phys.Lett. B537, 241 (2002)
- [45] A.H. Orsland, H. Hogaasen, Eur.Phys.J. C9, 503 (1999)
- [46] J. Goity, W. Roberts, Phys.Rev. D64, 094007 (2001)
- [47] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, G. Nardulli, Phys.Lett. B316, 555 (1993)
- [48] E. Braaten, S. Fleming, Phys. Rev. D 52(1), 181 (1995)

- [49] D. Asner et al. (Heavy Flavor Averaging Group) (2010), arXiv:hep-ex/1010.1589
- [50] C.T.H. Davies et al. (HPQCD Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 82, 114504 (2010)
- [51] S. Narison, Phys.Lett. B520, 115 (2001)
- [52] D. Ebert, V.O. Galkin, R.N. Faustov, Phys. Rev. D 57(9), 5663 (1998)
- [53] D. Ebert, V.O. Galkin, R.N. Faustov, Phys. Rev. D 59(1), 019902 (1998)
- [54] C. Albertus et al., Phys. Rev. D **71**(11), 113006 (2005)
- [55] K. Bowler et al. (UKQCD Collaboration), Nucl.Phys. B619, 507 (2001)
- [56] A. Abd El-Hady, A. Datta, J.P. Vary, Phys. Rev. D 58(1), 014007 (1998)
- [57] D.S. Hwang, G.H. Kim, Phys. Rev. D 55(11), 6944 (1997)
- [58] E. Follana et al. (HPQCD and UKQCD Collaborations), Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 062002 (2008)
- [59] G. Cvetic et al., Phys.Lett. **B596**, 84 (2004)
- [60] D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov, V.O. Galkin, Phys. Lett. B635, 93 (2006)

3

Image: Image:

References

- [61] B. Patel, P.C. Vinodkumar, CPC(HEP & NP) 34(9), 1497 (2010), 0908.2212
- [62] D.S. Hwang, G.H. Kim, Phys. Rev. D 55, 6944 (1997)
- [63] H. Na et al., Phys.Rev. D86, 034506 (2012)
- [64] E. Neil et al. (Fermilab Lattice Collaboration, MILC Collaboration), PoS LATTICE2011, 320 (2011)
- [65] M.Z. Yang, Eur.Phys.J. C72, 1880 (2012), arXiv:hep-ph/1104.3819
- [66] C. Bernard et al., PoS LATTICE2008, 278 (2008), 0904.1895
- [67] D.S. Hwang, C. Kim, W. Namgung, Phys.Lett. B406, 117 (1997)
- [68] D. Hwang, G. Kim, J.Korean Phys.Soc. 29, S251 (1996)
- [69] C. McNeile et al., Phys.Rev. **D86**, 074503 (2012)
- [70] A. Buras, W. Slominski, H. Steger, Nucl. Phys. B245, 369 (1984)
- [71] T. Inami, C. Lim, Prog. Theor. Phys. 65, 297 (1981)
- [72] A. Lenz, U. Nierste (2011), arXiv:hep-ph/1102.4274

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- [73] B. Patel, P.C. Vinodkumar, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics 36(11), 115003 (2009)
- [74] A. Abulencia et al. (CDF Collaboration), Phys.Rev.Lett. 97, 242003 (2006)