Clusters and Hypernuclei formation study within PHQMD Model

V. Kireyeu[1], A. Le Fèvre[2], E. Bratkovskaya[2], J. Aichelin[3], Y. Lefeils[2]

XXIVth International Baldin Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems
21.09.2018

1 - JINR, Dubna, Russia
2 - GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany
3 - SUBATECH, UMR 6457, Ecole des Mines de Nantes - IN2P3/CNRS - Université de Nantes, France

Introduction



At 3 A.GeV even in central collisions almost 20% of the baryons are bound in the clusters

(it's a lot!)

20%



Without dynamical fragments formation we cannot properly describe observables like v1, v2, p_T spectra,

Many present transport models fail to describe fragments at NICA/FAIR (and higher) energies. We made a new one.

PHSD

E.L. Bratkovskaya, W. Cassing, Nucl.Phys. A856 (2011) 162-182.







Initial A+A collisions – HSD: string formation and decay to pre-hadrons

Fragmentation of pre-hadrons into quarks: using the quark spectral functions from the Dynamical QuasiParticle Model (DQPM) approximation to QCD

DQPM: Peshier, Cassing, PRL 94 (2005) 172301; Cassing, NPA 791 (2007) 365: NPA 793 (2007)

Partonic phase: quarks and gluons (= „dynamical quasiparticles“) with off-shell spectral functions (width, mass) defined by DQPM

elastic and inelastic parton-parton interactions:
using the effective cross sections from the DQPM

- ✓ q + qbar (flavor neutral) <=> gluon (colored)
- ✓ gluon + gluon <=> gluon (possible due to large spectral width)
- ✓ q + qbar (color neutral) <=> hadron resonances

Hadronization: based on DQPM - massive, off-shell quarks and gluons with broad spectral functions hadronize to off-shell mesons and baryons:
gluons → q + qbar; q + qbar → meson (or string);
q + q +q → baryon(or string)(strings act as ‚doorway states‘ for hadrons)
Hadronic phase: hadron-string interactions – off-shell HSD

FRIGA

A. Le Fèvre et al., J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 668 (2016) 012021.

1) Pre-select good «candidates» for fragments according to proximity criteria: real space coalescence = Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) procedure.

2) Take randomly 1 nucleon out of one fragment

3) Add it randomly to another fragment



$E = E^1_{kin} + E^2_{kin} + V^1 + V^2$

$E' = E^{1'}_{kin} + E^{2'}_{kin} + V^{1'} + V^{2'}$

If $E' < E$ take the new configuration

If $E' > E$ take the old with a probability depending on $E'-E$

Repeat this procedure very many times...

It leads automatically to the most bound configuration.

PHQMD

Parton-Hadron Quantum Molecular Dynamics
= PHSD + QMD* + FRIGA

* J. Aichelin and H. Stöcker, Phys. Lett. 176 B (1988) 14

Model predictions



Single particle spectra still the same as in PHSD

Produced particles are well reproduced at NICA/FAIR/SPS energies

Model predictions





Single particle spectra still the same as in PHSD

Produced particles are well reproduced at NICA/FAIR/SPS energies

Model predictions





Single particle spectra still the same as in PHSD

Produced particles are well reproduced at NICA/FAIR/SPS energies

Model predictions

(preliminary results at NICA energies)



Central collisions: light clusters;
Semi-peripheral collisions: existence of heavy clusters – remnants from spectators

Clusterization time

Maybe here..?

Too early



Oops...

Clusterization time



M_{imf} vs Z_{bound} @ 1.23 GeV

Courtesy of the ALADIN Collaboration for the new S254 data





$<M_{IMF}>$ – average number of medium mass fragments ($2 < Z < 30$)

Z_{bound} – number of charges bounded in clusters ($Z > 1$)

M_{imf} vs Z_{bound} @ 2 GeV

Courtesy of the ALADIN Collaboration for the new S254 data





$<M_{IMF}>$ – average number of medium mass fragments ($2 < Z < 30$)

Z_{bound} – number of charges bounded in clusters ($Z > 1$)

M_{imf} vs Z_{bound} @ 4 A.GeV

Courtesy of the ALADIN Collaboration for the new S254 data





$\langle M_{IMF} \rangle$ – average number of medium mass fragments ($2 < Z < 30$)

Z_{bound} – number of charges bounded in clusters ($Z > 1$)

M_{imf} vs Z_{bound} @ 6 A.GeV

Courtesy of the ALADIN Collaboration for the new S254 data





$<M_{IMF}>$ – average number of medium mass fragments ($2 < Z < 30$)

Z_{bound} – number of charges bounded in clusters ($Z > 1$)

M_{imf} vs Z_{bound} @ 8 A.GeV

Courtesy of the ALADIN Collaboration for the new S254 data





$<M_{IMF}>$ – average number of medium mass fragments ($2 < Z < 30$)

Z_{bound} – number of charges bounded in clusters ($Z > 1$)

M_{imf} vs Z_{bound} @ 11 A.GeV

Courtesy of the ALADIN Collaboration for the new S254 data

$\sqrt{S_{NN}} \approx 5$ GeV

NICA!





$\langle M_{IMF} \rangle$ – average number of medium mass fragments ($2 < Z < 30$)

Z_{bound} – number of charges bounded in clusters ($Z > 1$)

Why not to use just coalescence?

Courtesy of the ALADIN Collaboration for the new S254 data



It fails to describe spectators

Not very healthy for the Flow Analysis

PHQMD+FRIGA
may be also used for engineering stuff

We can estimate damage caused to detector

Z vs Θ @ 1.23 A.GeV



Z vs Θ @ 2 A.GeV


Au+Au, E_lab = 2.00 A.GeV
Z
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
0
10
20
30
40
50
Θ
10^5
10^4
10^3
10^2
10
1
Hole ~2.5
25 (CBM Si)
45 (BM@N Si)

Z vs Θ @ 4 A.GeV


Au+Au, E_lab = 4.00 A.GeV
Z
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
0
10
20
30
40
50
Θ
Hole ~2.5
25 (CBM Si)
45 (BM@N Si)
10^5
10^4
10^3
10^2
10
1

Z vs Θ @ 4 A.GeV



Summary

- PHQMD can produce clusters and hypernuclei;
- Model reproduce experimental data;
- Model`s predictions can be used for analysis, feasibility and engineering studies;
- Model is actively developing.

Backup

Old

Au+Au, $\sqrt{s}$ = 5 GeV, b = 0..3 fm



New

AuAu, √s = 5 GeV, b = 0..3 fm



Backup

Fragments Z >= 2



Hypernuclei



Backup


Binding Energy
PHQMD:
hard EOS
t=150 fm/c
Weizsaecker formula
Binding energy/N [GeV]
fragment charge
0.0
-0.002
-0.004
-0.006
-0.008
-0.01
0
10
20
30
40
50
60


Au+Au, 4AGeV, min bias
PHQMD:
hard EOS
75 fm/c
Z=2
Z=3
Z=4
Z=5
Z=6
Z=8
Z=10
< multiplicity >
b [fm]
10
5
2
1
5
2
10^{-1}
5
2
10^{-2}
5
2
10^{-3}
0
2
4
6
8
10
12