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A list of physical task in high-energy physics solved using the Mellin-Barnes (MB) integrals - a family of integrals in the complex plane whose integrand is given by the ratio of products of Gamma functions (two-loop massive Bhabha scattering in QED, three-loop massless form factors, static potentials, massive two-loop QCD form factors, B-physics studies hadronic top-quark physics and muon magnetic moment anomaly from lepton vacuum polarization) can be supplemented by finding the structure functions, the fragmentation functions and parton distributions in QCD analysis of the deep inelastic scattering (DIS) data. The volume of experimental data which is included in the analysis quickly increases and their accuracy improves. Numerical processing of this experimental material requires the effective methods.

Recently, significant progress has been made in the numerical computation of the MB integrals, as example, the paper by Gluza, Jelinsky,Kosower[PRD 95 (2017)]. The choice of the integration contour is of great practical importance. The best efficiency in a numerical integration of the MB integrals can be achieved on the contour of the stationary phase where the oscillations of the integrand are minimal. However, the solution of the differential equation for the stationary phase contour and its subsequent application to calculate the MB integral requires big computing expenses. Instead, it is proposed to build such approximations of the stationary phase contour that would allow the effective application of the quadrature integration formulas.

## Overview of theoretical framework: contours

The inverse Mellin transform method is widely used in calculations related to DIS [M. Gluck, E. Reya PRD 14 (1976)]. The general expression for the inverse Mellin transform is written as a contour integral in the complex z-plane

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} d z x^{-z} \tilde{f}\left(z, Q^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The moments of the structure function at some fixed momentum transfer $Q^{2}$ is usually expressed in terms of the ration of Gamma function. Then Eq. (1) is a typical MB integral.
In typical DIS-data processing the integral has to be calculated more than a few millions times.
Therefore, optimal numerical integration depends on the number of terms in the quadrature formula.
Usually, the contour $C$ in (1) is chosen parallel to the imaginary axis $\left(C_{0}\right)$ to the right of the rightmost ${ }^{\prime}$ pole in the integrand, or a straight line at an angle $\left(C_{1}\right)$.
[M. Gluck, E. Reya and A. Vogt, Z. Phys. 48, 471 (1990);
A. Vogt, Com. Phys. Commun. 170, 65 (2005)]

The efficient contour based on the saddle-point method of the integrand in (1) was suggested by Kosower [D.A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B 506, 439 (1997)].
This contour running through a saddle point of the integrand (1) in the complex z-plane has the parabolic shape.


Standard contours

We present a new approximation for the efficient contour, which close to the exact contour of the stationary phase [A. Sidorov, V. Lashkevich, OS, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 076009].

We will consider efficient numerical evaluation of the MB integrals for the structure functions, for two exactly solvably examples, and some MB integrals arising in the Feynman diagrams.

We will answer a question that is important from the practical point of view: how many polynoms in the quadratur formula for r.h.s. in (1) has to be used for evaluate the integral with a fixed relative error of $\sim 10^{-4}$ or $10^{-12}$ by using the efficient contours $C_{a s}$ and $C_{K}$.

## Notation

$C_{s t}$ - the exact stationary phase contour
$C_{a s}$ - our contour [the asymptotic stationary phase contour]
$C_{K}$ - the Kosower contour

## Note

The Mellin-Barnes integral widely used in calculations of Feynman diagrams, as massive propagator can be written as

$$
\frac{1}{\left(p^{2}-m^{2}\right)^{a}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-i \infty}^{+i \infty} d z \Gamma(a+z) \Gamma(-z) \frac{\left(-m^{2}\right)^{z}}{\left(p^{2}\right)^{a+z}}
$$

## Input: DIS



## Note

Earlier from QCD analysis of DIS data we extracted values of the form for NS structure functions at some fixed Q
[A. Sidorov, OS, Mod. Phys.
Lett. A 29 (2014)].

The parameterization of the $F_{3}$ structure function is typical and widely used in DIS for quarks and gluons densities

$$
\begin{gathered}
x F_{3}\left(x, Q_{0}{ }^{2}\right)=A x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}(1+\gamma x) \\
M_{n}\left(Q_{0}^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{n-1} F\left(x, Q_{0}^{2}\right) d x \\
M\left(z, Q_{0}^{2}\right)=A \Gamma(\beta+1)\left[\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1+z)}+\gamma \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2+z)}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

The $Q^{2}$ evolution of the structure-function non-singlet (NS) moments has a simple form and, in the leading order, is fully determined by the strong-interaction constant and by the values of the nonsinglet anomalous dimensions $\gamma_{N S}^{(0)}(n)$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M_{n}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\left[\frac{\alpha_{S}\left(Q_{0}^{2}\right)}{\alpha_{S}\left(Q^{2}\right)}\right]^{\frac{\gamma_{N S}^{(0)}(n)}{2 \beta_{0}}} M_{n}\left(Q_{0}^{2}\right) \\
\left(\beta_{0}=11-2 n_{f} / 3\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Restoration of structure function

## The inverse Mellin transformation (1) for the $\mathrm{XF}_{3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
x F_{3}\left(x, Q^{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} d z x^{-z} M_{3}\left(z, Q^{2}\right)  \tag{1a}\\
M_{3}\left(z, Q_{0}^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} d x x^{z-1} x F_{3}\left(x, Q_{0}^{2}\right) & =A \Gamma(\beta+1)[\underbrace{\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1+z)}+\gamma \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2+z)}}_{G(z, \alpha, \beta)}] \\
x F_{3}\left(x, Q_{0}{ }^{2}\right) & =A x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}(1+\gamma x)
\end{align*}
$$

We will calculate (1a) numerically and compare the result with exact value.
The equation for the stationary phase contour $\mathrm{C}_{s t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \mathrm{x}}{d \mathrm{y}}=\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left\{\partial_{z} \ln \left[e^{-z \ln x} G(z, \alpha, \beta)\right]\right\}^{*}}{\operatorname{Im}\left\{\partial_{z} \ln \left[e^{-z \ln x} G(z, \alpha, \beta)\right]\right\}^{*}} \quad \text { with condition } \mathrm{x}(0)=c_{0}, \\
& z=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{iy}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Non-singlet combination of polarized quark densities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \Delta q_{3}\left(x, Q_{0}{ }^{2}\right)=A x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}(1+\gamma x) \\
& x \Delta q_{3}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)= \\
& =\left[x \Delta u\left(x, Q^{2}\right)-x \Delta \bar{u}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)\right]-\left[x \Delta d\left(x, Q^{2}\right)-x \Delta \bar{d}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Semi-inclusive lepton-nucleon process (SIDIS)

Note: Analytic QCD running coupling


$$
\ell+p \rightarrow \ell^{\prime}+h+X
$$

$$
z D_{i}^{\pi^{+}}(z)=\frac{N_{0} z^{\alpha}(1-z)^{\beta}\left[1+\gamma(1-z)^{\delta}\right]}{B[\alpha+1, \beta+1]+\gamma B[1+\alpha, \beta+\delta+1]}
$$

$$
B(a, b) \text { - the Beta function }
$$

parameters
from D.de Florianet et.al.,

$$
\text { PRD } 95 \text { (2017) }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{\mathrm{PT}}^{L O}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{4 \pi}{\beta_{0}} \frac{1}{\ln \left(Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right)} \\
& \alpha_{A P T}^{L o}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{4 \pi}{\beta_{0}}\left[\frac{1}{\ln \left(Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{1-Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Polarazed nonsinglet $\Delta q_{3}(x)$ and nonsinglet fragmentation function $D_{u_{v}}^{\pi^{+}}(z)$ in the analytic approach to QCD
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$$
\text { APT = PT + RG + } Q^{2} \text {-analyticity }
$$

## Well-known features of APT:

D.V. Shirkov, I.L.Solovtsov,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 1209

- analytic coupling

$$
\mathcal{A}\left(Q^{2}\right) \equiv \alpha_{A P T}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho(\sigma) d \sigma}{\sigma+Q^{2}} \text { (Kallen-Lehman representation) }
$$

- free from unphysical singularities $\quad\left[\alpha_{P T}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right]^{n} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho_{n}(\sigma) d \sigma}{\sigma+Q^{2}}$ and without additional parameters

$$
\rho_{n}(\sigma)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\left[\alpha_{P T}(-\sigma-i \varepsilon)\right]^{n}\right)
$$

- infrared stable point which is independent of the scale parameter $\Lambda_{\rho \times n}$
- APT $\rightarrow$ PT at large $Q^{2}$

$$
\alpha_{A P T}(0)=1 / \beta_{0}
$$

Generalization: non-integer (fractional) power Fractional APT (FAPT)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\alpha_{P T}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right]^{\nu} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{v}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho_{v}(\sigma) d \sigma}{\sigma+Q^{2}}} \\
& \rho_{v}(\sigma)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\left[\alpha_{P T}(-\sigma)\right]^{\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A.P. Bakulev, S.V. Mikhailov, N. Stefanis, } \\
& \text { Phys. Rev. D } 72(2005) 074014 \text { (2005); } \\
& 75 \text { (2007) } 056005 \\
& \text { A.P. Bakulev, Phys. Part. Nucl. } 40 \text { (2009) } \\
& 715-756 .
\end{aligned}
$$

FAPT: $Q^{2}$ - evolution SF

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{C^{\prime}} \mathbf{R e}\left[-i d z F\left(z, Q^{2}\right)\right] \\
& F\left(z, Q^{2}\right) \equiv x^{-z} \tilde{f}\left(z, Q^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[D.A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys (1997)]

The saddle point: $F^{\prime}\left(c_{0}, Q^{2}\right)=0$
$z(t)=\mathrm{x}(t)+i \mathrm{y}(t) \quad$ with the conditions $\mathrm{x}\left(t_{0}=0\right)=c_{0}, \mathrm{y}\left(t_{0}\right)=0 \quad[(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})-$ real $]$.
(the dependence on values of the Bjorken $-x$ and $Q^{2}$ is omitted)

$$
F(z(t))=F\left(c_{0}\right)-\frac{F^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{0}\right)}{2} t^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\left[-i F^{(3)}\left(c_{0}\right)+3 i F^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{0}\right) x^{\prime \prime}(0)\right] t^{3}+\ldots
$$

$\operatorname{Im} F(z(t))=0$ to order $O\left(t^{3}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z(t)=c_{0}+i t+\frac{F^{(3)}\left(c_{0}\right)}{6 F^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{0}\right)} t^{2}=c_{0}+i t+\frac{c_{3}}{2} t^{2} \quad \underline{\left[C_{K}^{(3)} \text {-contour or } C_{K}\right]} \\
& f\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\left[\left(1-i c_{3} t\right) F\left(z(t), Q^{2}\right)\right] d t . \\
& z(t)=c_{0}+i t+\frac{c_{3}}{2} t^{2}+c_{6} t^{4} \quad \underline{\left[C_{K}^{(6)} \text {-contour }\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2nd step

New variable:

$$
t=c_{2} \sqrt{u}, \text { where } c_{2}=\sqrt{2 F\left(c_{0}\right) / F^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{0}\right)}
$$

Using a new variable $u$ one can write the inverse Mellin transform in the form

$$
f\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\frac{c_{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{u}} e^{-u} H_{1}(u)
$$

where the function $H(u)$ up to order $O\left(u^{2}\right)$ reads as

$$
\left.H_{1}(u)=\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{u}\left(1-i c_{3} c_{2} \sqrt{u}-4 i c_{6} c_{2}^{3} u^{3 / 2}\right) F\left(z\left(c_{2} \sqrt{u}\right), Q^{2}\right)\right)\right]
$$

To the contour $C_{K}^{(6)}$ corresponds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z(u)=c_{0}+i c_{2} \sqrt{u}+\frac{c_{3}}{2} c_{2}^{2} u+c_{6} c_{2}^{4} u^{2} \\
& c_{3}=\frac{F^{(3)}\left(c_{0}\right)}{3 F^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{0}\right)}, c_{4}=\frac{c_{3} F^{(4)}\left(c_{0}\right)}{12 F^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{0}\right)}, c_{5}=\frac{F^{(5)}\left(c_{0}\right)}{120 F^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{0}\right)}, \text { and } c_{6}=\left(c_{4}-c_{5}-\frac{3}{8} c_{3}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the evaluating the integtal the generalized Gauss - Laguerre quadrature formula is used

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{u}} e^{-u} H_{1}(u) \cong \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} H_{1}\left(u_{j}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $u_{j}$ are the zeros of the generalized Gauss - Laguerre polynomials $L_{n}^{(-1 / 2)}(u)$.

This was the key achievement of the method proposed by Kosower. We'll see later that the one point ( $n=1$ ) quadrature formula (2) gives an accuracy of about a few percent.
[Table for $x \mathrm{~F}_{3}\left(x, \mathrm{Q}_{0}^{2}\right)$ ]

## Comparison of contours



$\checkmark$ the main contribution to the integral (1a) comes from the region near the saddle point, where the curves practically coincide
$\checkmark$ the difference in the behavior of contours is more pronounced for small values of Bjorken-x variable

## Relative accuracy

$$
\varepsilon(n)=\frac{f_{n}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)-f^{\text {exact }}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)}{f^{e x a c t}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)}, \text { where } f_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} H_{1}\left(u_{j}\right), f^{\text {exact }}=x F_{3}\left(x, Q_{0}^{2}\right)
$$

Table for $x \mathrm{~F}_{3}\left(x, \mathrm{Q}_{0}^{2}\right): C_{K}^{(3)}$-contour

| $x$ | $x F_{3}^{\text {exact }}(x)$ | $n=1$ | $n=2$ | $n=4$ | $n=6$ | $n=8$ | $n=10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 0.001 | 0.0430001482 | 0.030 | $0.19 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $0.26 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $-0.16 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $-8.20 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $-5.3 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.01 | 0.1890698425 | 0.025 | $0.18 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $-0.66 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $-0.25 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $0.36 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $-3.7 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.1 | 0.7365980291 | -0.0034 | $0.84 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $0.65 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $-0.31 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $-5.97 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $-7.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.2 | 0.9151997245 | -0.0043 | $0.73 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $0.51 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $-8.12 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $-3.29 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $-7.32 \cdot 10^{-9}$ |
| 0.3 | 0.8636734952 | 0.0003 | $0.11 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $-0.53 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $3.42 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $-2.12 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $2.14 \cdot 10^{-10}$ |
| 0.5 | 0.4625246243 | 0.0078 | $-0.19 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $0.23 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $-6.77 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $1.95 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | $2.16 \cdot 10^{-10}$ |
| 0.8 | 0.0306079020 | 0.012 | $-0.46 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $-0.24 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $1.32 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $-1.31 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | $-6.53 \cdot 10^{-10}$ |
| 0.95 | 0.0002552325 | 0.012 | $-0.28 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $-0.35 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $0.35 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $-0.30 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | $-0.39 \cdot 10^{-9}$ |

Table for the $x \mathrm{~F}_{3}\left(x, \mathrm{Q}_{0}^{2}\right): C_{K}^{(6)}$-contour

| $x$ | $n=1$ | $n=2$ | $n=4$ | $n=6$ | $n=8$ | $n=10$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | 0.024 | $0.59 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $0.16 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $-0.57 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $0.32 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $-0.16 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.3 | 0.0003 | $0.91 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $-0.17 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $1.97 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $-1.90 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $-2.55 \cdot 10^{-9}$ |
| 0.8 | 0.012 | $-0.41 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $-1.06 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $0.50 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $-1.70 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | $3.55 \cdot 10^{-11}$ |

$Q^{2}$-evolution: $\quad M_{3}^{N S}\left(z, Q^{2}\right)=M_{3}\left(z, Q_{0}^{2}\right) \exp \left\{\ln \left[\frac{\alpha_{s}\left(Q_{0}^{2}\right)}{\alpha_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)}\right] \frac{\gamma_{N S}^{(0)}(\mathrm{z})}{2 \beta_{0}}\right\}$

$$
x F_{3}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} d z x^{-z} M_{3}\left(z, Q^{2}\right)
$$

Is it possible to use contour $C_{K}\left(Q_{0}^{2}\right)$ for calculation of structure functions at $Q^{2} \neq Q_{0}^{2}$ ?



The relative accuracy vs. a number of polynoms N in the quadrature formula (2) at $x=0.01$ (triangles) and $x=0.8$ (squares) for the counter $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}_{0}^{2}=3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ (open red triangles and squares) and for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Q}^{2}=100 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ (full black triangles and squares).

Table. The number of polynomials which is needed for achieving $\varepsilon(n)$ better than $10^{-4}$ and $10^{-5}$ for $x F_{3}\left(x, Q^{2}=100 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ for two types of contour of integration.

|  | $\varepsilon=10^{-4}$ | $\varepsilon=10^{-4}$ | $\varepsilon=10^{-5}$ | $\varepsilon=10^{-5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | $C_{K}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)$ | $C_{K}\left(x, Q_{0}^{2}\right)$ | $C_{K}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)$ | $C_{K}\left(x, Q_{0}^{2}\right)$ |
| 0.001 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| 0.01 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| 0.1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| 0.5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 0.8 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 |

The contour $C_{K}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)$ works more accurately than the contour $C_{K}\left(x, Q_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$, however this advantages are compensated if using the $C_{K}\left(x, Q_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$ increase the number of terms in the quadrature formula (2) by 1-2 units.

At large $x$-values the number of terms in the sum (2) less, than at small $x$. The contour $C_{k}\left(x, Q_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$ can be considered as universal, i.e. applicable for any value of $Q^{2}$. The similar result was obtained for other cases : $x \Delta q_{3}, x \Delta q_{8}, D_{u_{V}}^{\pi^{+}}$.


No accounting of asymptotic behavior of a contour of a constant phase.

## Basic feature of a new approach: simple example

$$
F(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{a} \quad \mathrm{x} \in[0,1]
$$

Mellin's moments $M(z)=\int_{0}^{1} d \mathrm{x} \mathrm{x}^{n-1} F(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{z+a}=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1+z)}$

$$
F(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} d z \mathrm{x}^{-z} M(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\delta-i \infty}^{\delta+i \infty} d z \frac{e^{u z}}{z+a}, \quad u \equiv-\ln (\mathrm{x})
$$

$C$ usually runs parallel to the imaginary axis and lies to the right of the rightmost pole and $\delta>-a$.

$$
\Phi(z) \equiv \frac{e^{u z}}{z+a} \quad z(y)=x(y)+i y
$$

Selecting the imaginary part of $\Phi$ and imposing the condition on the contour $\operatorname{Im}[\Phi(z)]=0$, we find the equation for the contour of the stationary phase $C_{s t}:[2 x(y)-1] \sin (u y)-2 y \cos (u y)=0$.

The solution of this equation which provides continuity of the contour at $y=0$ has the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{s t}(y)=-a+y \operatorname{ctg}(u y), y \neq 0 \\
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0} x_{s t}(y)=-a+\frac{1}{u} \equiv c_{0}, y=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
c_{0}-\text { the saddle point : } \Phi^{\prime}\left(c_{0}\right)=0
$$

For $\operatorname{Re}|z| \rightarrow \infty \quad x_{a s}(y)=y \operatorname{ctg}(u y) \quad y_{a s}=\frac{\pi}{u} \operatorname{sign}(y)$
Asymptotics of the contour $C_{s t}$ is bounded and is parallel to the real $x$-axis
To calculate the integral numerically, we apply the Gauss-Legendre quadrature formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\left|y_{a s}\right|} d y H_{2}(y)=\frac{\left|y_{a s}\right|}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{j} H_{2}\left(y_{j}\right) \\
& H_{2}(y)=\operatorname{Re}\left[\Phi\left(n_{a s}(y)\right)\left(1-i \frac{d x_{a s}(y)}{d y}\right)\right] / \pi  \tag{3}\\
& y_{j}=\frac{\left|y_{a s}\right|}{2}\left(x_{j}+1\right), \quad x_{j}-\text { roots } \\
& w_{j}=\frac{2}{\left(1-x_{j}^{2}\right)\left[P_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)\right]^{2}}-\text { weight coefficients }
\end{align*}
$$

The asymptotics of the contour of the stationary phase can be found without solving above equation, but only considering the asymptotics of the integrand

$$
\Phi(z) \sim \frac{e^{u z}}{z}
$$

Calculating the argument of $\Phi$ and equating its imaginary part to zero
for $\operatorname{Re}|z| \rightarrow \infty \quad u y-\operatorname{arctg} \frac{y}{x(y)}=\pi \quad \Rightarrow x_{a s}(y)=y \operatorname{ctg}(u y) \quad y_{a s}=\frac{\pi}{u} \operatorname{sign}(y)$

$$
\operatorname{Im} z
$$



$$
x_{s t}(y)=-a+x_{a s}(y)
$$

The efficient asymptotic contour $C_{a s}$ reads as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{a s}(y)=x_{a s}(y)+\Delta c_{0}+i y \\
& \Delta c_{0}=c_{0}-c_{a s}, c_{a s} \equiv x_{a s}(y=0) \\
& x_{a s}(y=0)=1 / u
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
z_{K}(y)=c_{0}-\frac{u}{3} y^{2}+i y
$$

$$
y \operatorname{ctg}(u y) \simeq \frac{1}{u}-\frac{u y^{2}}{3}-\frac{u^{4} y^{4}}{45}+\ldots
$$

## Example of two saddle points

$$
F(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{a}(1-\mathrm{x}) \quad 0<\mathrm{x}<1
$$

Mellin's moments $M(z)=\int_{0}^{1} d \mathrm{x} \mathrm{x}^{n-1} F(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{(a+z)(a+1+z)}=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+z)}{\Gamma(\alpha+2+z)}$

$$
F(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\delta-i \infty}^{\delta+i \infty} d z \underbrace{\frac{e^{u z}}{(a+z)(a+1+z)}}, \quad u \equiv-\ln (\mathrm{x})
$$

$$
\Phi(z) \equiv \frac{e^{u z}}{(a+z)(a+1+z)} \quad z(y)=x(y)+i y
$$

Selecting the imaginary part of $\Phi$ and imposing the condition on the contour

$$
\operatorname{Im}[\Phi(z)]=0,
$$

we find the equation for the contour of the stationary phase $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{st}}$

$$
4 \sin (u y) x^{2}(y)-8 y \cos (u y) x(y)-\left(1+4 y^{2}\right) \sin (u y)=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int x^{(1)}(y)=-a-\frac{1}{2}+y \operatorname{ctg}(u y)+\frac{\sqrt{\sin (u y)^{2}+4 y^{2}}}{2 \sin (u y)} \operatorname{sign}(y) \\
& c_{0}^{(1)}=-a-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{u}+\frac{\sqrt{4+u^{2}}}{2 u} \\
& x_{a s}^{(1)}(y)=y \operatorname{ctg}\left(\frac{u y}{2}\right) \quad y_{a s}=\frac{2 \pi}{u} \operatorname{sign}(y) \\
& \int x^{(2)}(y)=-a-\frac{1}{2}+y \operatorname{ctg}(u y)-\frac{\sqrt{\sin (u y)^{2}+4 y^{2}}}{2 \sin (u y)} \operatorname{sign}(y) \\
& c_{0}^{(2)}=-a-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{u}-\frac{\sqrt{4+u^{2}}}{2 u} \\
& x_{a s}^{(2)}(y)=-y \operatorname{tg}\left(\frac{u y}{2}\right) \quad y_{a s}=\frac{\pi}{u} \operatorname{sign}(y) \\
& \mathrm{y}=\frac{2}{u} \mathrm{~W}_{t}\left(\frac{u}{2} x\right) \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { [Markushin, Rosenfelder, } \\
\text { Schreiber, Nov. Cim. B } 117 \text { (2002)] }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$




$$
\Phi(z) \sim \frac{e^{u z}}{z^{2}}
$$

$\operatorname{Re}|z| \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{a s}^{(1)}=y \operatorname{ctg}\left(\frac{u y}{2}\right)+c_{0}^{(1)}-\frac{2}{u}+i y \\
& z_{a s}^{(2)}=-y \operatorname{tg}\left(\frac{u y}{2}\right)+c_{0}^{(2)}+i y
\end{aligned}
$$

The asymptotic contours after shifting practically merge with the corresponding exact contours.

$$
\Phi^{\mathrm{DIS}}(z) \sim e^{\omega_{B} z} A \Gamma(\beta+1) \frac{1+\gamma}{z^{\beta+1}}, \quad \omega_{B} \equiv-\ln \left(x_{B}\right)
$$

Calculating the argument of the $\Phi$-function and equating its imaginary part to zero, we arrive at the equation $\omega_{B} y-(\beta+1) \arg z=0$.
From this equation it follows that as $z$ tends to -infinity, the argument $z$ tends to $\pm \pi$, and we get the asymptotic behavior

$$
x_{a s}^{\mathrm{DIS}}(y)=y \operatorname{ctg}\left(\frac{\omega_{B} y}{\beta+1}\right), \quad y_{a s}^{\mathrm{DIS}}=\frac{(\beta+1) \pi}{\omega_{B}} \operatorname{sign}(y)
$$

The asymptotics of the stationary phase contour are parallel to the real axis.

$$
z_{a s}^{\mathrm{DIS}}=y \operatorname{ctg}\left(\frac{\omega_{B} y}{\beta+1}\right)+c_{0}-\frac{\beta+1}{\omega_{B}}+i y
$$

$\operatorname{Im} z$

$C_{s t}$ - the exact stationary phase contour, $C_{a s}$ - our contour,
$C_{K}$ - the Kosower contour [or $C_{K}^{(3)}$ ] $\bar{C}_{K}$ - the Kosower contour [or $C_{K}^{(6)}$ ].

|  | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{B}}=\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{B}}=\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{B}}=0.5$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{N}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{K}}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {as }}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{K}}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {as }}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{K}}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {as }}$ |
| 16 | $2.5 \times 10^{-8}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-9}$ | $2.9 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-13}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 20 | $3.7 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{-9}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-17}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 30 | $2.7 \times 10^{-11}$ | $7.6 \times 10^{-13}$ | $5.7 \times 10^{-13}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{-16}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-19}$ | $8.7 \times 10^{-21}$ |
| 35 | $9.7 \times 10^{-13}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-16}$ | $5.1 \times 10^{-14}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-16}$ | $4.3 \times 10^{-20}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{-23}$ |

The contour $C_{a s}$ works more accurately than the contour $C_{K}$ for $N>30$.

## Q2-evolution

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x\left(y, Q^{2}\right)=y c \operatorname{ctg}\left[\frac{\omega_{B} y}{(\beta+1)+\Delta\left(Q^{2}\right)}\right] & \Delta\left(Q^{2}\right) \equiv \frac{16}{33-2 n_{f}} \ln \left[\frac{\alpha_{s}^{L O}\left(Q_{0}^{2}\right)}{\alpha_{s}^{L O}\left(Q^{2}\right)}\right] \\
y\left(x, Q^{2}\right)= \pm \frac{\left[(\beta+1)+\Delta\left(Q^{2}\right)\right]}{\omega_{B}} W_{c t}\left(\frac{\omega_{B} x}{(\beta+1)+\Delta\left(Q^{2}\right)}\right) & \omega_{B} \equiv-\ln \left(x_{B}\right) \\
y_{a s}^{D I S}\left(Q^{2}\right)= \pm \frac{\left[(\beta+1)+\Delta\left(Q^{2}\right)\right] \pi}{\omega_{B}} &
\end{array}
$$

The contour $C_{\text {as }}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ in higher orders of the perturbation theory QCD will coincides with the LO expression with the replacement only of $\alpha_{s}^{L O}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ by an expression for running coupling in the corresponding order of the perturbation theory.

## Example I: MB integral arising in Feynman diagrams

$$
I_{I}(s)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\delta-i \infty}^{\delta+i \infty} d z(-s)^{-z} \frac{\Gamma^{3}(-z) \Gamma(1+z)}{\Gamma(-2 z) \Gamma(1-z) \Gamma(2+z)} \quad\left(-s \Rightarrow x_{B}\right)
$$

In the region $0<-s<4 \quad I_{I}(s)=-s$

$$
\text { for }-s>4 \quad I_{I}(s)=2 \ln (-s)-s\left(1-\sqrt{1+\frac{4}{s}}\right)+4 \ln \left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1+\frac{4}{s}}\right]
$$

Asymptotic stationary phase integration contour

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{a s}(y)=x_{a s}(y)+i y \\
& x_{a s}(y)=y \operatorname{ctg}\left\{\frac{2}{5}[-\ln (-s)+\ln 4] \cdot y+\frac{3}{2} \pi \operatorname{sign}(y)\right\}, \quad \operatorname{Re}|z| \rightarrow \infty \\
& y_{a s}= \pm \frac{\pi}{-\ln (-s)+\ln 4} \quad 0<-s<4 \\
& y_{a s}= \pm \frac{3}{2} \frac{\pi}{|-\ln (-s)+\ln 4|} \quad-s>4
\end{aligned}
$$



The proposed contour $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{as}}$, whose a construction is quite simple, reproduces the behavior of the exact contour $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{st}}$ well, and the use of this contour can provide the required high relative accuracy.

|  | $-s=1 / 20$ |  | $-s=2.0$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $C_{K}$ | $C_{a s}$ | $C_{K}$ | $C_{a s}$ |
| 16 | $1.2 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 20 | $6.7 \times 10^{-10}$ | $8.5 \times 10^{-12}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 30 | $1.2 \times 10^{-11}$ | $5.7 \times 10^{-14}$ | $5.1 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 35 | $5.8 \times 10^{-13}$ | $5.7 \times 10^{-16}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-7}$ | $5.8 \times 10^{-11}$ |

$$
I_{I I}(s)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\delta-i \infty}^{\delta+i \infty} d z(-s)^{z} \frac{\Gamma^{4}(-z) \Gamma(1+2 z)}{\Gamma^{2}(-2 z) \Gamma^{2}(1+z)}
$$

In the region $0<-s<4^{3} \quad I_{I I}(s)=\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{-s} \cdot\left[K\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot\left(1-\sqrt{1+\frac{s}{64}}\right)\right)\right]^{2}$
$K$ - the complete elliptic integral of the first kind
$-s>4^{3} I_{I I}(s)=4 \ln (-s)-4 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 n)!^{\beta} \cdot(-s)^{-n}[6 \Psi(2 n+1)-6 \Psi(n+1)-7 \ln (-s)]}{n!^{6}}$
The asymptotic behavior of the integrand:

$$
\Psi(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \ln \Gamma(z)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi(z) \sim \exp \left[u z-\ln (-z)+\left(6 z-\frac{3}{2}\right) \ln (2)-\frac{1}{2} \ln z\right], \quad \operatorname{Re}|z| \rightarrow \infty \\
u y-\frac{3}{2} \arg (z) \pm \pi \operatorname{sign}(y)+3 y \ln 4=0 \\
\left.x_{a s}(y)=y \operatorname{ctg}\left[\frac{2}{3}(\omega+3 \ln 4) y+\operatorname{sign}(y) \pi\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## The case of a complicated shape of the stationary phase contour



The use of the asymptotic contour of the stationary phase proves to be effective even in this complicated case.


| $\boldsymbol{N}$ | $-\boldsymbol{s}=\mathbf{2 0}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{- s}=\mathbf{1 2 8}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {as }}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{K}}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {as }}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{K}}$ |
| 10 | $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-8.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 12 | $-6.8 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 16 | $-8.5 \times 10^{-7}$ | $5.3 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.8 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 20 | $-3.4 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-2 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.1 |
| 30 | $1.3 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $-4.4 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.22 |
| 35 | $-3.6 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.3 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.26 |

## Conclusions

We proposed the method for constructing an effective contour to calculate with high accuracy one-dimension Mellin-Barnes integrals. This contour is the approximation of the stationary phase contour in the case of their finite asymptotic behavior.

The construction of contour $C_{a s}$ is much easier because it is not requires computation of higher derivatives. This gives the advantage for the contour $C_{a s}$ at intensive computations related to fitting of experimental data.

It was compared the efficiency of application of the asymptotic stationary phase contour $C_{a s}$ and the contour $C_{k}$. The contour $C_{k}$ turned out to be more effective for a small number of $N$ terms in the Gauss-Laguerre quadrature formula, when the nodes of the quadrature formulas are located near the saddle point. The advantage of the contour $C_{a s}$ is manifested at large values of N . The 'regime change' occurs at $\mathrm{N} \sim 20$ for structure function.

It was shown that the contour $C_{a s}$, makes it possible to calculate the MB integrals effectively even in the case of a complicated shape of the stationary phase contour.


