XXIV International Baldin Seminar
on High Energy Physics Problems
Relativistic Nuclear Physics & Quantum Chromodynamics
September 17 - 22, 2018, Dubna, Russia

Event reconstruction in the BM@N experiment

P. Batyuk on behalf of software group

Dubna, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

NICA/MPD parallel session, September 19

Outline

- BM@N experiment and its physics motivation
- Geometry description
- Inner tracker and a new version of tracking procedure
- Alignment of inner tracker
- Event visualization
- Conclusion

BM@N experiment

Full setup, layout



- Central tracker (Silicon tracker + GEM) inside analyzing magnet to reconstruct AA-interactions
- Outer tracker (CPC, DCH) behind magnet to link tracks from central tracker to ToF detectors
- TOF1 & TOF2 system based on mRPC and T0 detectors to identify hadrons and light nuclei
- Detectors to form T0 and beam monitors
- ZDC calorimeter to measure centrality of AA-collisions
- Electromagnetic calorimeter for γ, e^+, e^-

BM@N advantages:

- large aperture analyzing magnet
- sub-detector systems are resistant to high multiplicities of charged particles
- PID: "near to magnet" (TOF1), "far from magnet" (TOF2)

QCD phase diagram



Landscape of experiments exploring QCD phase diagram



High energy:

- $N_{baryons} \approx N_{antibaryons}$
- Lattice QCD predicts crossover transition between hadronic and partonic matter
- ALICE, ATLAS, CMS, STAR, PHENIX

High net-baryon density:

- $N_{baryons} >> N_{antibaryons}$
- Lattice QCD not applicable, models predict structures and exotic phases
- BES @ RHIC, NA61, CBM, NICA/MPD, BM@N

Exploring high density baryonic matter with Nuclotron





Nuclotron is well suited to study high density (dominantly baryonic) matter since at that energies baryon-dominated system exists comparatively long lifetime

BM@N experiment at previous runs

Experimental setup at deuteron and carbons runs









BM@N and SRC, data collected in Ar/Kr run (RUN7)

SRC:

- One beam energy available for C-beam
- More than half of the collected statistics can be used for analysis

BM@N:

- One beam energy available for Ar-beam and three - for Kr-beam
- Wide set of targets used (C, Al, Cu, Sn, Pb)





Hit reconstruction in strip detectors

BM@N and SRC configurations @ RUN7





- Inner tracker system consists of two subdetectors: GEM (Gas Electron Multiplier) and SILICON
- GEM detector is a set of gas-filled chambers functioning by a principle of gas electron multiplication.
- SILICON is a module semiconductor detector to be used for precise reconstruction of primary vertex in event.

Towards realistic simulation of GEM tracker

Simulation of GEM response: Garfield++

- Garfield++ is a framework for micro-simulation of physical processes in gas detectors
- A charge particle passing through GEM chamber detecting volume ionizes electrons in gas
- Multiplayer GEM-cascades form avalanches which drift to readout-plane and fire strips

Simulation parameters in Garfield++



Structure of BM@N GEM chamber and simulated electron avalanches



Profile of electron avalanche at the readout-plane (cluster)



Towards realistic simulation of GEM tracker

Aim: to obtain a mean shift of electron clusters (Lorentz shift) as a function of magnetic field and gas mixture ($ArCO_2$, ArC_4H_{10})

- **Lorentz shift has a tendency to increase with increasing of magnetic field**
- **Taking into account Lorentz shift allows us to increase reconstruction efficiency**



All possible realistic effects to be included in simulation if necessary:

- **Lorentz shift**
- **Remain misalignment**
- **Detector inefficiency**

Hit reconstruction in strip detectors

1. **Clusterization**
   - Search for strip clusters is done by "peak-valley-peak" method for each strip layer
   - Center of a found strip cluster is calculated by "center-of-gravity" method
2. **Reconstruction**
   - Real coordinates are being found when crossing all strips pertaining to each layer and using the center positions of previously found clusters
   - Obtained intersections that belong to a strip layer we are considering are supposed to be “hits”
   - "Hits" we have found at the previuos step have a fraction of not only real ones ("fakes")





Number of "fakes" can be decreased by decreasing angle between strips in two readout planes (upper and lower layers)

Tracking

CellAutoTracking, algorithm

- Based on cellular automaton
- Applicable to inner tracker (GEM and silicon hits simultaneously)

Hits in inner tracker



Inner tracker configuration



To get more, see:

R. Glattauer, R. Frühwirth, J. Lettenbichler and W. Mitaroff arXiv:1202.2761

CellAutoTracking, creation of cells

1. "All-to-all" connection



2. Remove cells with big slopes



CellAutoTracking, calculation of states

Loop over cells:

- All cells have a zero-state in the beginning
- If a left neighbour has the same state and common hit with current cell → state of current cell is increased by 1

Iteration 1



Iteration 3



Iteration 5



Iteration 7



CellAutoTracking, connection of cells

Last iteration



Loop over cells in backward direction:

- Left neighbour has a less state than current one
- Difference between its slope and slope of current cell is minimal for all left neighbours
- Left neighbour is in validation gate

1. Creation of candidates



2. Remove candidates with $N_{hits} < 4$



3. Remove short cand. with $N_{shared} > 0$



4. Refit candidates by Kalman Filter



CellAutoTracking, input for QA

- **Inner tracker data, RUN7 geometry used**
- **Generator: LAQGSM, ArPb (T = 3.2 GeV/n), minbias, 10k events**
- **Magnetic field: B = 0 T and B = 0.59 T**
- **Mean multiplicity: 130**
- **Primary vertex: V_p = (0.5, -4.6, -2.3)**







CellAutoTracking, quality assurance (QA)

Efficiency



- **Efficiency:** $\frac{N_{well} - N_{split}}{N_{MC}} \cdot 100\%$
- **Percent of ghosts:** $\frac{N_{wrong}}{N_{rec}} \cdot 100\%$
- **Percent of clones:** $\frac{N_{split}}{N_{rec}} \cdot 100\%$
- N_{MC} **is a set of Monte Carlo tracks (MC-tracks) with more than 3 hits in inner tracker**
- N_{rec} **is a set of all reconstructed tracks**
- N_{well} **is a sample of reconstructed tracks where each track has more than 60% of hits that correspond to the same MC-track**
- N_{wrong} **is a sample of reconstructed tracks where each track has less than 60% of hits that correspond to the same MC-track**
- N_{split} **is a number of reconstructed tracks corresponding to the same MC-track**

CellAutoTracking, QA

Momentum resolution





Use of silicon:

- Allows one to obtain un unbiased estimate for all values of momentum in a wide range
- Improves mom. resolution, especially at high momenta

CellAutoTracking, QA

Primary vertex





Primary vertex is reconstructed by **method of virtual planes**

- Use of silicon leads to a more precise reconstruction of primary vertex V_p
- Effect becomes significant when reconstructing tracks in magnetic field

Vertex efficiency

B_y [T]	SILICON	
	On	Off
0.0	64%	64%
0.59	54 %	49%

Alignment

Alignment

The package based on formalism of Millepede II with all its features and allows one to include / exclude different subdetectors from alignment (GEM, silicon).

Generalized straight-line model of track:

$$u_i^j = x_0^j \cos\alpha_i + t_x^j \cos\alpha_i + y_0^j \sin\alpha_i + t_y^j z \sin\alpha_i + \Delta u_i + (t_x \cos\alpha_i + t_y \sin\alpha_i)\Delta z$$

Chosen weights to prevent det. shift:

- $w_i^1 = \cos\alpha_i$ - **shifts** (x_0)
- $w_i^2 = z_i \cos\alpha_i$ - **shearings** (t_x)
- $w_i^3 = \cos\alpha_i$ - **shifts** (y_0)
- $w_i^4 = z_i \sin\alpha_i$ - **shearings** (t_y)
- $w_i^5 = 1$ - **overall shift in Z**
- $w_i^6 = z_i$ - **scaling in Z**

Misaligned and aligned detector



Also solutions but not desirable



Test of alignment, RUN7

- $Ar + C(Cu, Al, Sn, Pb) \rightarrow X$ without magnetic field (more than 10000 kEvents used)
- Set of all possible trigger conditions used

Vertex (only GEM)



Positions of detectors



Residuals in GEM



Event visualization

Data processing for offline Event Display

Sim & Reco





Reco



- The Event Display has been developed for graphical representations of the NICA experiments in offline as well as online mode and integrated into the BmnRoot software.
- The visualization system gives an opportunity to visually check the developed algorithms for reconstruction and physical analysis of data.

Conclusion

- **Instruments and algorithms to be used for description of detector geometry, alignment procedure in automatic mode, hit production in strip detectors (GEM, silicon), event visualization already developed and can be used.**
- **Feasibility study with Monte Carlo input performed with new tracking showed good QA-results, thus allowing one to use the tracking when real experimental data processing.**

Thank you for your attention!